# STUDY ON THE RISE OF E-GOVERNMENT AND BUREAUCRACY IN MODERN INDIAN PUBLIC SERVICE ADMINISTRATION

#### **Harkamal Kaur**

Research Scholar

Department of Commerce and

Management

OPJS University, Rajasthan.

harkamal\_pgt@yahoo.in

### Dr. Ratnesh Chandra Sharma

Associate Professor
Department of Commerce and
Management
OPJS University, Rajasthan.

**Abstract:** Public administration has used information and communication technology to change the worldwide public sector's traditional functioning to boost efficiency and effectiveness. The Indian government emphasises technology to achieve this goal. E-Government approaches promote accountability and transparency, which reduces bureaucracy in public sector organisations and helps offer efficient and effective services to people while minimising red tape and corruption. As a consequence of technology breakthroughs in government sectors across the globe, E-government and e-bureaucratic systems have adopted essentially similar principles and practices.

**Keywords:** E-Governance, E-Bureaucracy, E-Services, Information and Communication Technology, Indian Public Administration.

### INTRODUCTION

Modern governments have tremendous challenges in administering their countries due to increased ambitions, dissatisfaction, distrust, and political apathy. Rapid technological advancement has raised people's demands for good living circumstances in developing and existing countries, changing government makeup. Modern society's shifting goals and needs make it hard for governments to adapt rapidly (El- Haddadeh, Weerakkody, & Al-Shafi, 2013). E-governance has boosted public participation, efficiency, accountability, and openness in government decision-making. Nearly all governments provide at least one online tool that lets residents do administrative duties electronically (Nations, 2014). From 10 in 2003 to 29 in 2016, more countries have high EGDI rankings (Pea-López et al., 2016). According to the UN, e-government aims to make government information and services more available through the Internet and WWW (Nations, 2005). E-governance is the use of ICTs to promote e-Democracy, e-Government, e-Commerce, and e-Bureaucracy. E-governance uses electronic means to enhance internal government processes and promote communication between the government and its citizens (Backus, 2001).

E-governance, or network linkages between government entities and people, is backed by new technology. Long recognized is the potential for government-citizen networks to establish public values, such as safety, security, and prosperity. E-governance coordinates progressive government processes via citizen coproduction. Few new technologies are employed to generate interactive organizational affairs. Despite governments' ability, new media for governance is little. Citizens must use new technology to engage in governance and address issues.

ASPA defines E-Government as "the practical use of modern information and communication technologies, particularly the internet, for efficient, cheap, and effective services in every sector." Decision-makers must improve government-people connections (Wong & Welch, 2004). Governments across the globe want to revive public administration



to provide customer-focused, inexpensive, and accessible services to the government, communities, and businesses.

E-Governance, a cutting-edge and sophisticated type of government, demonstrates technology's fundamental transformation (Foley & Alfonso, 2009; PIU, 2000). E-Government will increase public administration abilities with the integration of technology into government activities. E-Services allow people to easily access public services. E-Government transforms public policy and operations by allowing authorities and individuals to share information (West, 2004). E-Government reduces red tape, increases accountability, reduces corruption, and promotes public service transparency.

When governments invest in GIS, they reorganize to improve policy effectiveness and efficiency. Improving organizational effectiveness and efficiency will minimise bureaucracy. This essay questions if ICTs can help government. ICTs abbreviate public bureaucracy, as promised by e-government efforts familiar with NPM. ICTs may offer replacement organizational solutions that boost organizations' efficiency and effectiveness by holding bureaucratic cooperation with e-bureaucratic structures (Cordella, 2007). E-bureaucracies employ ICT-enabled services to increase procedural efficiency while carrying out government organization activities.

ICT-enabled services improve bureaucratic organizations' cooperation and authority. These roles provide legal-normative methods to standardize justice and public services. The study offers theoretical insights that ICTs will develop bureaucratic organizational efficiency and ICTs enable bureaucratic organisations useful for the delivery of public services rather than the organizational patterns formulated by the NPM philosophy and appeared in "Contract State" as well as empirical support for how e-bureaucracies function (Cordella & Willcocks, 2012; du Gay, 1994).

## TECHNOLOGICAL REFORMS AND BUREAUCRACY

Theoretically, bureaucracy promotes organizational effectiveness. In the past, bureaucracies were used to improve administrative systems. Weber (1947) says bureaucracy promotes administrative efficiency by following procedures, dexterity processes, and scientific methods (Clegg, 2007). Weber says bureaucratic organisations need certain traits to succeed. Hierarchical authority structure, division of labor, professional qualifications, specialization of organizational activities, organizational resources, and rules and processes that guarantee impartiality in decision-making and create desired outcomes. These Weber organizational principles promote organizational performance and standardize the interaction between citizens and the state (Peters, 2001).

Bureaucracies have consistently controlled the state's administrative structure and enforced equity and fairness in public services. Due to recent government involvement in welfare state development, government agencies require more integration. Information creation and interchange to deliver public services have enhanced integration between residents, people, and the public administration. The bureaucracy is overwhelmed with information to manage the whole welfare state system, not simply services. Increasing administrative complexity hinders bureaucratic organizations' ability to offer services and solve issues. These shortcomings have created positive critiques of bureaucratic tactics and their ability to provide effective and efficient government services (Heeks, 2002). To increase the efficiency and effectiveness of public administration and to satisfy the higher goals of equality,



impartiality, and justice, the government has used minimal technology to assist non-bureaucratic organization.

Cordella (2007) suggests evaluating the role of ICT in public sector reforms rather than ignoring technology and directing implementation of ICT to automate existing administrative procedures could improve the administrative system of the public sector to achieve efficiency and effectiveness without altering its fundamental logic (Nohria & Berkley, 1994), which is to grant equality. Technology has raised bureaucratic accountability and responsibility.

ICT deployment has made the public sector more efficient and effective throughout time, thus it's a must for bureaucratic organization. Bureaucracy has become the administrative system's lifeblood. ICT has emerged as a vital instrument to modify the traditional bureaucratic approach. ICT architecture comprises database programmes, Microsoft Word, Excel, information processing data, geographic information systems, management information systems, organization automation software, automated decision-support systems, and web-services, e-services, and cloud computing systems. These technology innovations help bureaucratic organisations function more effectively and efficiently. ICT has shown good monitoring and regulatory methods for bureaucratic organisations, and it has boosted the number of administrative work regulations to govern the public bureaucracy. ICTs may help bureaucratic organisations perform time-consuming activities and boost public sector flexibility under challenging conditions.

These organisations must first overcome informational barriers imposed by public involvement expansion. The increased complexity and ambiguity of this subject requires public sector officials to communicate and digest more information to implement right methods. E-bureaucracies are organizations that utilize ICT in government interactions (Cordella, 2007). E-bureaucratic system offers e-government policies that increase transparency, accountability, responsiveness, efficiency, and effectiveness in public administration discourse, which supports bureaucratic impartiality in citizens service system. ICT has shifted administration in the following ways: IT and Good Governance.

- "Networked power"
- "Sharing information"
- "Performance-oriented"
- "Organizational"
- "Online processing"
- "Instant access"
- "Prompt reaction"
- "Data input"
- "Creative work"
- "IT savvy"
- "Continuous improvement"

# SECOND ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS COMMISSION IN INDIA

To establish SMART governance, which is "Simple, Moral, Accountable, Responsive, and Transparent," the Second Administrative Reforms Commission (SARC) has promoted E-Government in India by using ICT to administer the administrative system of government. SARC says a SMART approach to e-Government must cover people, process, technology, and resources. E-government facilitates communication between independent governance

# AIJRRLSJM VOLUME 7, ISSUE 9 (2022, SEP)

# Anveshana's International Journal of Research in Regional Studies, Law, Social Sciences, Journalism and Management Practices

parties. And:

G to G (Government to Government): Using ICT to increase government production, performance, and efficiency.

**G to C (Government to Citizens)**: A public-government channel that enables successful service delivery and makes government processes more accessible to the public.

**G to B (Government to Business)**: e-Government solutions are used to enhance government product and service delivery by decreasing red tape, corruption, time management challenges, and expenditure.

**G to E (Government to Employees)**: Government and workers utilize ICT to communicate quickly and effectively to speed up public sector activities.

E-Government improves government operations via ICT. It's vital to government reform.

## INDIAN RANKING INDEX

**Table 1: Geographical position of India** 

| List        | India         | Notes   |  |
|-------------|---------------|---|--|
|             | ranking/Total |   |  |
|             | countries     |   |  |
| Total area  | 7/233         | India is 32,87,364 km <sup>2</sup>            |  |
|             |               | (1,222,559 square miles) including land and   |  |
|             |               | water   |  |
| Length of   | 18/196        | 7,000 km coastline with 2.00 coast/area ratio |  |
| coastalline |               | (m/km sq).                                    |  |

India gained independence in 1947. It ranks sixth with 1,222,559 square miles and a 7,000-kilometer coastline. India is the second-most populous country after China, with 1,296,834,042 inhabitants in July 2018. (CIA)

**Table 2: Political position of India** 

| List              | India         | Source                 | Notes        |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------|
|                   | ranking/Total |                        |              |
|                   | countries     |                        |              |
| Corruption        | 78 / 180      | Transparency           | 2018         |
| Perceptions Index |               | International          |              |
| Press Freedom     | 140/180       | Reporters Without      | 2019         |
| Index             |               | Borders                |              |
| Rule of Law Index | 66 / 113      | World Justice Project  | 2016         |
| Democracy Index   | 42 / 167      | Economist Intelligence | 2017 - score |
|                   |               | Unit                   | 7.23         |
|                   |               |                        | - Flawed     |
| Democracy         | 65 / 112      | democracyranking.org   | 2014-2015    |
| Ranking           |               |                        |              |
| Corporate         | 20 / 38       | GMI Ratings            | 2010         |
| Governance        |               |                        |              |
| E-Government      | 96 / 192      | UN                     | 2018         |

EMAILID: anveshanaindia@gmail.com, WEBSITE: www.anveshanaindia.com



## **ALIRRLSIM VOLUME 7. ISSUE 9 (2022, SEP)** (ISSN-2455-6602)ONLINE

| 111011111111111111111111111111111111111 | (0201127, 188027 (2022, 8217)             | (1001) 1100 0002) 01 (111) |
|---|---|----------------------------|
| Anveshana's                             | International Journal of Research in Regi | ional Studies, Law, Social |
|   | Sciences, Journalism and Management       | Practices                  |

| Global Peace Index | 136/163 | Institute for Economics | 2018 |
|--------------------|---------|-------------------------|------|
|                    |         | andPeace (IEP)          |      |

India ranks 78th in Corruption Perceptions Index, 140th in Press Freedom Index, 66th in Rule of Law Index, 42nd in Democracy Index, 65th in Democracy Ranking, 20th in Corporate Governance, 96th in E-Government, and 136th in Global Peace Index. India has a long way to go before realising its objectives in every field.

Table 3: Technology position of India

| List                        | India         | Source             | Notes        |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|
|                             | ranking/Total |                    |              |
|                             | countries     |                    |              |
| IT industry competitiveness | 18 / 66       | BSA Global Index   | 2016         |
| index                       |               |                    |              |
| ICT Development Index       | 134 / 176     | International      | 2017         |
|                             |               | Telecommunication  |              |
|                             |               | Union              |              |
| Global Innovation Index     | 57 / 130      | Global Innovation  | 2018         |
|                             |               | Index              |              |
| Space Competitiveness Index | 6 / 15        | Futron Corporation | 2013         |
| Networked Readiness Index   | 91 / 139      | World Economic     | 2016 - Score |
|                             |               | Forum              | 3.8          |

In terms of technology, India is advancing and developing and must reach big milestones to dominate worldwide in the future. India ranks 18th in IT competitiveness, 134th in ICT Development Index, 57th in Global Innovation Index, 6th in Space Competitiveness, and 91st in Networked Readiness.

## **CONCLUSION**

With ICT, Indian public administration will be more open, accountable, responsive, and free of corruption and bureaucracy. ICT has improved the Indian public sector's effectiveness and efficiency in several ways. In establishing and reviewing e-government solutions for governmental reforms and public service delivery, functional e-bureaucracy evolved. Egovernment, e-bureaucracy, and e-services are new programmes that improve administration. Despite bad rankings, technology has increased the government's capacity to provide eservices and will remain crucial. The report shows that growing countries like India must work hard to attain future development objectives to enhance global government service delivery. India may deploy e-government and e-bureaucratic institutions to give better eservices to its democratic population.

## **REFERENCES**

- Backus, M., 2001. E-governance and developing countries-introduction and examples. Research report no. 3. International Institute for Communication and Development. Retrieved May 20, 2011.
- Bannister, F., & Connolly, R. (2011). New problems for old defining e-governance. System sciences (HICSS), 2011 44th Hawaii international conference on. IEEE (pp. 1–10).
- Bellamy, Christine, & Taylor, John A. (1998). Governing in the information age, public policy and management. Buckingham; Bristol, PA, USA: Open University Press.
- Brudney, J. L., & England, R. E. (1983). Toward a definition of the coproduction concept. Public Administration Review, 43(1), 59-65. Clegg, S. (2007). Something is happening here, but you don't know what it is, do you, Mister Jones? ICT in the contemporary world. Information Systems and Innovation



*Group, London School of Economics and Political Science.* 

- 5. Cordella, A. (2007). E-government: Towards the e-bureaucratic form? Journal of Information Technology, 22(3), 265–274. Cordella, A. (2007). E-government: Towards the e-bureaucratic form? Journal of Information Technology, 22(3), 265–274.
- 6. Cordella, A., & Willcocks, L. (2012). Government policy, public value and IT outsourcing: The strategic case of ASPIRE. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 21(4), 295–307.
- 7. du Gay, P. (1994). Making up managers: Bureaucracy, enterprise and the liberal art of separation. The British Journal of Sociology, 45(4), 655–674.
- 8. E-Government Development Index". UN.org. Retrieved 20 November 2017. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International\_rankings\_of\_India El-Haddadeh, R., Weerakkody, V., & Al-Shafi, S. (2013). The complexities of electronic services implementation and institutionalisation in the public sector. Information and Management, 50(4), 135–143.
- 9. Foley, P., & Alfonso, X. (2009). e-Government and the transformation agenda. Public Administration, 87(2), 371–396.
- 10. Fountain, J. E. (2001). Building the virtual state: Information technology and institutional change. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- 11. Gil-Garcia, J. R., & Pardo, T. A. (2005). E-government success factors: Mapping practical tools to theoretical foundations. Government Information Quarterly, 22(2), 187–216.
- 12. Gronlund, A., & Horan, T. (2004). Introducing e-Gov: History, definitions, and issues. Communications of the AIS, 15, 713–729.
- 13. Heeks, R. (2002). Reinventing government in the information age. In R. Heeks (Ed.), Reinventing government in the information age International practice in IT-enabled public sector reform. London: Routledge.
- 14. Holmes, D. (2001). eGov: eBusiness strategies for government. Nicholas Brealey Publishing.
- 15. Kamarck, Elaine C. (2007). The end of government...as we know it: making public policy work. In L. R. Publishers (Ed.), (Boulder, CO). Kapoor, J. (2000). IT and Good Governance, The Indian Journal of Public Administration, July-September 2000, Vol., XLVI, No. 3.
- 16. Measuring the Information Society Report 2017" (PDF). Geneva, Switzerland: International Telecommunication Union (ITU). 2017. p. 31. Retrieved 2017-11-16. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International\_rankings\_of\_India
- 17. Mergel, I., & Bretschneider, S. I. (2013). A three-stage adoption process for social media use in government. Public Administration Review, 73(3), 390–400.
- 18. Mergel, I., Schweik, C., & Fountain, J. (2009). The transformational effect of Web 2.0 technologies on government. Social Science Research Network (Available at: http://ssrn.com/abstract=1412796).
- 19. Milakovich, M. E. (2012). Digital governance. New technologies for improving public service and participation. New York/London: Routledge. Nations, U. (2005). UN global E-government readiness report. (United Nations).
- 20. Nations, U. (2014). United nations e-government survey 2014: E-government for the future we want. (United Nations Department of economic and social affairs).
- 21. Networked Readiness Index". World Economic Forum. Retrieved 20 November 2017.
- 22. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International\_rankings\_of\_India
- 23. Nohria, N., & Berkley, J. D. (1994). The virtual organization (bureaucracy, technology and the implosion of control). In C. Heckscher, & A. Dennelon (Eds.), The post-bureaucratic organization. Sage.
- 24. Okot-Uma, R. W., & London, C. S. (2000). Electronic governance: Re-inventing good governance. London: Commonwealth Secretariat. Ostrom, E. (1978). Citizen participation and policing: What do we know? Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly, 7(1/2), 102–108.
- 25. Peña-López, I., et al., (2016). Un e-government survey 2016. E government in support of sustainable development. Tech. Rep., UNPAN. URL http://workspace.unpan.org/sites/internet/documents/UNPAN96407.Pdf.
- 26. Percy, S. L. (1978). Conceptualizing and measuring citizen co-production of community safety. Policy Studies Journal, 7(s1), 486–493. Percy, S. L. (1987). Citizen involvement in coproducing safety and security in



the community. Public Productivity Review, 10(4), 83–93. Peters, B. Guy (2001). The politics of bureaucracy (5th ed.). London; New York: Routledge.

- 27. PIU (Performance and Innovation Unit) (2000). e.gov: Electronic government services for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. London: Cabinet Office.
- 28. Second Administrative Reforms Commission (2005), Eleventh report, promoting e-Governance.https://darpg.gov.in/sites/default/files/promoting\_egov11.pdf
- 29. Tapscott, D., Williams, A. D., & Herman, D. (2008). Transforming government and governance for the twenty-first century. nGenera Insight's Government, 2.
- 30. The World Factbook Central Intelligence Agency". www.cia.gov. Retrieved 2017-11-17.
- 31. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International rankings of India
- 32. Transparency International (2018). Corruption Perceptions Index. <a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International rankings">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International rankings</a> of India Weber, M. (1947). Theory of social and economic organization. London: Free Press.
- 33. West, D. M. (2004). E-Government and the transformation of service delivery and citizen attitude. Public Administration Review, 64(1), 15–27. Wong, W., & Welch, E. (2004). Does e-Government promote accountability? A comparative analysis of website openness and government accountability. Governance: An International Journal of Policy, Administration, and Institutions, 17(2), 275–297.
- 34. World Press Freedom Index (2019). Reporters without Borders. <a href="https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International rankings">https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International rankings</a> of India World Public Sector Report (2003). E-Government at the crossroads. New York: UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs.