

LANGUAGE LEARNING STRATEGY AN OVERVIEW

KHADIRPASHA

Research Scholar

Linguistic Department

Potti Sri Ramaulu Telugu University

Hyderabad

Khadripasha.p@gmail.com

Abstract

Language learning strategy, a crucial variable of individual differences in second language acquisition (SLA), has been a fiercely discussed topic since 1970s, attracting a large number of researchers and teachers who have already made great achievements. This article aims to conduct a small-scale review of studies concerning language learning strategy, finding that studies in this respect were done mainly from perspectives of definition, identification and classification, usage and assessment and instruction of language learning strategy, and factors which exert influences on it. It is plausible to say that research on this issue is comparatively comprehensive, appreciating wide coverage and great achievements, but certain points are still in controversy. Subsequently, implications for further research and pedagogy in terms of language learning strategy are discussed.

Keywords

Language learning strategy, definition, identification and classification, usage and assessment, instruction, factor

Introduction

Since the career of English teacher has begun, a phenomenon have been noticed that students in a same class taught by a same teacher using same teaching methods perform differently in English acquisition, with some students being more successful than others, no matter English as a mother language, second language or foreign language. It is consistent with what Gass & Selinker (2008) pointed out that “one of the most widely recognized facts about second language learning is that some individuals are more successful in learning a second language than other individuals” (p. 395). Of course, there is a series of complicated factors behind the phenomenon, which interact with each other to exert influence on students’ second/foreign language learning. This article conducts a review of studies revolving around one of those variables in individual differences in second/foreign language acquisition, that is, language learning strategy. Taking language learning strategy as the core, libraries were visited and the Internet was surfed so as to find as more studies concerning this issue as possible, then using language learning strategy (ies) as key words to search relevant studies in order to find lots of studies in this respect as well and finally choosing those whose titles have key words of (language) learning strategy (ies). After such kind of literature retrieval, 24 journal articles and books were gathered, which were used as the subject of analysis in this paper. After reviewing and analysing these studies, this article summarizes different perspectives of language learning strategy research and discusses their implications for further research and pedagogy.

Literature review

Since 1970s, growing studies have focused their attention on language learning strategy, which could be generally divided into two broad areas, that is, theoretical research and empirical research. After conducting a small-scale review of studies about language learning strategy which were retrieved, different perspectives of language learning strategy research could be discerned, namely, definition,

identification and classification, practical usage and assessment, and training/instruction of language learning strategy, and factors influencing language learning strategy use. In fact, those studies cannot be divided in such a clear-cut way because a number of studies are involved in more than one aspect. For instance, O'Malley & Chamot (1990) investigated language learning strategy systematically by reviewing previous research, explaining rationale for and contribution to integrating cognitive theory into strategy research, and discussing its definition, classification, usage, effectiveness, and instruction. Similarly, Oxford (1990) conducted a comparatively complete research, including its definition, feature, classification, assessment and instruction, and how to apply strategies to listening, speaking, reading, and writing. Torres (2013) reviewed certain definitions and classifications of language learning strategy, examined "good" language learners' strategy use and proposed an approach to strategy training and self-regulatory awareness practice. While there are some scholars who conducted a literature review, discussing strategy training model, definition, classification, and factors influencing language learning.

Although the wording of definitions of language learning strategy proposed by different scholars varies, there are some common points behind them, which are of great importance. Oxford summarized those common points of language learning strategy as follows-

1. Contribute to the main goal, communicative competence.
2. Allow learners to become more self-directed.
3. Expand the role of teachers.
4. Are problem-oriented. 5. Are specific actions taken by the learner.
6. Involve many aspects of the learner, not just the cognitive.
7. Support learning both directly and indirectly.
8. Are not always observable.
9. Are often conscious.
10. Can be taught.

Since language learning strategy use is proved to be useful and beneficial to language learning in most cases, whether language learning strategies from successful learners could be taught to or shared with those less successful ones, or in other words, is language learning strategy teachable? As for this question, scholars hold different viewpoints. On the one hand, a minority of scholars hold negative viewpoints (Plonsky, 2011; Rees-Miller, 1993; Skehan, 1989). "Studies of SI [strategy instruction] have also produced negative and mixed results across many of the same contexts, treatments, and outcome variables" (Plonsky, 2011, p. 994). "Although most researchers of language learning strategies believe learning strategies can be taught, others (Rees-Miller, 1993; Skehan, 1989) are more skeptical or cautious" (Wharton, 2000, p. 206). On the other hand, a majority of scholars cherish positive views (Hassan, et al, 2005; Macaro, 2006; Park, 1997;). Park (1997) suggested that language learning strategies should be taught in class. "Despite some setbacks and some reservations, learner strategy instruction (or 'training') appears to be effective in promoting successful learning if it is carried out over lengthy periods of time and if it includes a focus on meta-cognition" (Macaro, 2006, p. 321). Hassan, Macaro, Mason, Nye, Smith & Vanderplank (2005) conducted a deep review of 38 studies concerning language learning strategy training, pointing out "there is sufficient research

evidence to support claims that training language learners to use strategies is effective, but it is not possible to say from this evidence whether the effect of training is long-lasting or not" (Hassan, et al., 2005).

It seems that people holding positive view about effectiveness of language learning strategy instruction/training outnumber those holding negative view. At all events, it is advisable that teachers should grasp a deep understanding of language learning strategies so that they can help students adopt appropriate strategies to aid their language learning. This article aims to embark on this issue by conducting a literature review of language learning strategies as the first step, hoping teachers/instructors who haven't given a thought on this point now gain a primary understanding of it.

Discussion and implementation

As far as language learning strategy research is concerned, it has a comparatively comprehensive coverage varying from definition, identification, classification, usage, assessment, instruction to factors influencing language learning strategy. Nonetheless, some research perspectives might deserve in-depth study.

First of all, great attention were paid to relationship between language proficiency and learning strategy use, whereas certain factors such as culture, learning environment, learning style and so on get little attention. Therefore, relevant studies could expand their coverage to new factors.

It is of great possibility that a number of teachers do not grasp a large repertoire of language learning strategies (in fact, many teachers haven't given a thought on language learning strategies, but they just teach what the course books tells), or they know many language learning strategies, but they just don't know how to explicitly instruct/train students in this respect; on the contrary, there is also another possibility that some researchers grasp a large repertoire of language learning strategies, but they do not have opportunities to apply them to classrooms or they don't have opportunities to share with teachers. Therefore, it is advisable that researchers and teachers would work together to run training workshops or programs for training pre-service and in-service teachers, especially for those at ordinary universities (contrast to those prestigious universities) who had rare opportunities to take further education in this regard. Or forums or conferences concerning such kind of topics could be held frequently to facilitate communications in this field between researchers and teachers.

Which language learning strategies could be taught or how to conduct strategies instruction deserves researchers and teachers' attention because language teachers could take full advantages of first-hand materials directly to help students acquire a certain language and an effective language learning strategy would be much helpful to language learners. Moreover, corresponding materials and curriculum development revolving around language learning strategies should be worthy of investing great efforts. And more action studies would be designed to test the effectiveness of a certain language learning strategies.

On the other hand, language learning strategy instruction deserves attention as well. Although there are many studies focusing on strategy instruction, it still needs more efforts to study how to conduct strategy instruction and how to instruct teachers to instruct language learning strategy to facilitate language learners acquire a certain language. Just as Plonsky pointed out that "turning to the effects of SI [strategy instruction] with different outcome variable, the data indicate that SI is much more effective for certain skills than for others" (Plonsky, 2011, p. 1010), teachers should take different factors into consideration and then decide which strategies should be adopted to be explicitly taught.

Furthermore, teachers could choose certain strategies which they think are appropriate to design certain action research projects in order to check whether they are indeed helpful to their students under that context. Then, teachers should make a clear explanation for students that “a learning strategy cannot, a priori, be categorized as either good or bad” (Oxford, 2003, p. 274), but be appropriate for certain people in certain context. Next, researchers or language teachers from different countries or in different contexts of language learning would be encouraged to share their first-hand studies or experiences so as to cover a wider area of language learning strategies. Moreover, the subjects of studies in terms of language learning strategies would be expanded and be covered more to middle schools because a successful language experience should be based on a solid language base, consequently, it is of necessity to conduct more studies about language learning strategies of primary and secondary students in order to help them grasp a more effective language learning strategies to improve their language proficiency.

Conclusion

Language learning strategies, as an important variable of individual differences of second language acquisition, play a crucial role in language learning and attract lots of attention from researchers and teachers. Numerous studies were devoted to language learning strategies, covering a wide area. This article conducts a small-scale review of studies concerning language learning strategies and generally divides them into several perspectives of definition, identification and classification, usage and assessment, and instruction/training of language learning strategies, and factors influencing language learning strategy use. Although research on this issue covers comparatively complete perspectives, some perspectives still need in-depth research theoretically and pedagogically. For example, factors like culture, learning settings, styles and others impacting strategy use deserve further research. New classification systems of language learning strategies should be proposed under the backdrop of changing learning environments and methods to advance with the times. Materials and curriculum about language learning strategy instruction should be developed correspondingly. Training workshops for teachers in terms of strategy instruction should be run. More forums or conferences in this field would be held to facilitate communication between researchers and teachers. Then the language learning strategy research of English as a foreign language in the context of China's education deserve more attention.

References

1. Carson, J.G., & Longhini, A. (2002). *Focusing on learning styles and strategies: a diary study in an immersion setting*. *Language Learning*, 52 (2), 401-438.
2. Chamot, A. U. (2005). *Language learning strategy instruction: current issues and research*. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 25, 112-130.
3. Fan, M.Y. (2003). *Frequency of use, perceived usefulness, and actual usefulness of second language vocabulary strategies: a study of Hong Kong learners*. *The Modern Language Journal*, 87, 222-241.
4. Gass, S. M., & Selinker, L. (2008). *Second language acquisition: an introductory course (3rd ed)*. New York: Routledge.
5. Gu, P.Y., Hu, G.W., & Zhang, L.J. (2005). *Investigating language learner strategies among lower primary school pupils in Singapore*. *Language and Education*, 19 (4), 281-303.
6. Hassan, X., Macaro, E., Mason, D., Nye, G., Smith, P., & Vanderplank, P. (2005). *Strategy training in language learning: a systematic review of available research*. In: *Research Evidence in Education Library*.



London: EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education, University of London. Retrieved from: <http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/Default.aspx?tabid=297> (2014.11.16).

7. Hsiao, T.Y. & Oxford, R.L. (2002). *Comparing theories of language learning strategies: a confirmatory factor analysis. The Modern Language Journal, 86, 368-383*