## DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF DE LAVAL NOZZLE

#### GOVARDHAN REDDY K S

Department of Mechanical Engineering, CMR College of Engineering & Technology, Hyderabad, India 17H51A0318@cmrcet.ac.in

#### MUTYALA KAMAL

Assistant Professor Department of Mechanical Engineering, CMR College of Engineering & Technology, Hyderabad, India kamalm@cmrcet.org

# R KRISHNATEJA

#### SARMA

S TULASI NAIDU

Department of Mechanical Engineering, CMR College of Engineering & Technology, Hyderabad, India, 17H51A0345@cmrcet.ac.in

Department of Mechanical Engineering, CMR College of Engineering & Technology, Hyderabad, India 17H51A0357@cmrcet.ac.in

## P CHAITANYA PRASAD

Department of Mechanical Engineering, CMR College of Engineering & Technology, Hyderabad, India 17H51A0341@cmrcet.ac.in

#### Abstract

A nozzle is a device designed to control the characteristics of fluid. It is mostly used to increase the velocity of fluid. A typical De-Laval nozzle is a nozzle which has a converging part, throat and diverging part. This paper aims at explaining most of the concepts related to De Laval nozzle. In this paper the principle of working of nozzle is discussed. Theoretical analysis of flow is also done at different points of nozzle. The variation of flow parameters like Pressure, Temperature, Velocity and Density is visualized using Computational Fluid Dynamics.

*Keywords* - *De Laval Nozzle, Computational Fluid Dynamics, flow parameters.* 

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Nozzle is a device designed to control properties of fluids like pressure, velocity, temperature etc. The major applications of nozzles are to increase the velocity by converting heat energy and pressure of the fluid. Nozzles are widely used in aerospace industries and automobile industries. A Nozzle plays a very important role in providing the thrust required for a Rocket to take off. The velocity of the fluid through the Nozzle is converted from subsonic to supersonic velocities upon various alterations to its design to obtain the highest magnitude of thrust possible. The design also depends on the operational conditions and working of the rocket.

#### **II. THEORETICAL FORMATION**

The operation of a De Laval Nozzle relies on different properties of the gas flowing at subsonic, sonic and supersonic velocities. The velocity of the gas will increase gradually as it reaches the throat of the Nozzle where the cross-sectional area is minimum. At this point the velocity becomes sonic (Mach Number=1.0), a condition where the flow is choked. The cross-sectional area of the nozzle increases from this point on, which causes the expansion of the gas and increase in the velocity. This speed recorded at the tail end of the nozzle is viewed to be supersonic (Mach Number>1.0).

# A. Basic Equations

The Mach Number Variation is given by

$$\frac{A}{A^{*}} = \frac{1}{M} \left( \frac{1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2}M^{2}}{\frac{\gamma + 1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{\gamma + 1}{2(\gamma - 1)}}$$

The Temperature variation is given by

$$\frac{T_0}{T} = 1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2}M^2$$

Keeping the temperature and inlet velocity constant, we can calculate the theoretically, the possible outcomes for a De Laval Nozzle with different throat diameters.

B. Nomenclature of symbols used

T – Temperature (K) V – Velocity (m/s) Ma – Mach Number A – Area ( $m^2$ )

 $\gamma$  - Adiabatic index



# Fig. 1 Boundary Conditions of the Nozzle

# TABLE I THEORETICAL RESULTS OF DIFFERENT THROAT DIAMETERS OF DE LAVAL NOZZLE

Diameter of Throat	Parameters	Inlet	Throat	Outlet
	T[K]	3300	2600	502
38	V[m/s]	170	300	270
	Ma	0.5	0.8	0.7
	T[K]	3300	2700	300
34.5	V[m/s]	170	384	350
	Ma	0.5	1.1	1
	T[K]	3300	2800	1700
30	V[m/s]	170	386	1152
	Ma	0.5	1.1	3
	T[K]	3300	2900	4700
26	V[m/s]	170	392	720
	Ma	0.5	1.2	2

## **III. COMPUTATIONAL ANALYSIS**

Treatment of engineering problems basically contains three main parts: create a model, solve the problem and analyse the results. Ansys, like many other FEA programs, is also divided into three main parts namely the processors which are called pre-processor, solution processor and post-processor.

The following are the steps performed for computational analysis of the nozzle using ANSYS: Modelling, Meshing, Preprocessing, Solution, Post-processing.

## A. Modelling

The Nozzle is then designed, by generating a proper radius of curvature at the throat and fixing the throat diameter such that the temperature and pressure at that point decrease while velocity of the flow increases.

TABLE II Dimensions of the Nozzle

Parameter	Dimension	
Total Length of the	484	
Nozzle (mm)		
Inlet Diameter (mm)	166	



Outlet Diameter (mm)	183	
Throat Diameter	38/34.5/30/26	
(mm)		
Convergence angle	32	
(Deg)		
Divergence angle	11	
(Deg)		

# B. Meshing

The model created by the above dimensions was meshed in mesh mode of Ansys component systems. The relevance of meshing was set to 100. The proximity and curvature option were selected, since nozzle is a curved object. The smoothing was high and num cells across gap were set to 50.



# Fig 2 Mesh View of the Nozzle

## c. Pre-Processing

The next step of the CFD after meshing is pre-processing. In pre-processing appropriate boundary conditions are applied to the meshed model. The preprocessing was done in Ansys Fluent.

# TABLE III PROCESSING DETAILS

	Solver Type = Density	
General	Based 2D Space =	
	Planar Time = Steady	
	Density = Ideal Gas Cp	
Motoriala	= 1006.43 J/kgK k=1.4	
Wraterrais	Viscosity = 1.81*10-5	
	Pas Mean Molecular	

	Mass = 28.966 g/mol
Boundary Conditions	Inlet Temperature = 3300 K Outlet Temperature = 1900 K

# D. Solution

In solver the solution is initialized and calculation is proceeded with the desired number of iterations. It is the most important port of CFD analysis.

TABLE IVSOLVER DETAILS

Solution Control	Courant Number = 5	
Solution	Compute from =	
Initialization	inlet	
Dup Colculation	Number of	
Kun Calculation	Iterations $= 2000$	



**Fig 3 Iterations of Solution** 

## E. Results

The results for De Laval Nozzle with various throat diameters are as follows:

1) 38 mm Throat Diameter: The velocity reaches sonic state at the throat in this condition but the velocity at outlet is not as aspired.



Fig 4 Contour of Mach Number Analysis for 38mm Throat Diameter



Fig 5 Mach Number Vs Position Graph

2) *34.5 mm Throat Diameter:* The velocity at the throat reaches sonic state in this condition but the outlet velocity is not supersonic.



Fig 6 Contour of Mach Number Analysis for 34.5mm Throat Diameter



# Fig 7 Mach Number Vs Position Graph

3) *30 mm Throat Diameter:* The velocity at the throat reaches sonic state in this condition and the supersonic velocity is achieved at the outlet.



Fig 8 Contour of Mach Number Analysis for 30mm Throat Diameter



# Fig 9 Mach Number vs Position Graph

4) 26 mm Throat Diameter: The velocity at the throat reaches above sonic state in this condition and the supersonic velocity at the outlet is diverged.



Fig 10 Contour of Mach Number Analysis for 26mm Throat Diameter





# Fig 11 Mach Number vs Position Graph

# TABLE V

# RESULTS OF COMPUTATIONAL ANALYSIS

Diameter of Throat	Parameters	Inlet	Throat	Outlet
38	T[K]	3300	2730	322
	V[m/s]	170	345	240
	Ma	0.5	1	0.6
34.5	T[K]	3300	2730	283
	V[m/s]	170	355	300
	Ma	0.5	1	0.8
30	T[K]	3300	2770	1980
	V[m/s]	170	384	1200
	Ma	0.5	1.1	3.1
26	T[K]	3300	2830	5000
	V[m/s]	170	390	1100
	Ma	0.5	1.2	2.8

## CONCLUSION

The results obtained by computational analysis and the theoretical derivation are almost identical. In view of this comparison, it can be stated that,

[1] when the throat diameter of the nozzle decreases, the velocity at the output increases to achieve the supersonic state.

[2] It is also understood that, these dimensions can be effective for a real time working conditions of a rocket Nozzle.

[3] It can also be stated that, the 1 dimensional or 2 dimensional analysis is sufficient to analyse the performance of a nozzle.

The following tables show the comparison between velocities achieved in Nozzles with different throat diameters:

# TABLE V VELOCITY COMPARISON FOR DIFFERENT THROAT DIAMETERS

Diameter	Velocity at outlet [Mach		
of Throat	Number]		
	Theoretical	Computational	
38 mm	0.6	0.7	
34.5 mm	0.8	1.0	
30 mm	3.1	3.0	
26 mm	2.8	2.0	

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are thankful to Mr. Mutyala Kamal, for presenting us with such opportunity to undertake this project. We also acknowledge the valuable support received from Dr. Somvir Singh and Mr. Krishna Varma sir for their continuous help and monitoring throughout the project.

We would like to thank Dr. P Ravi Kumar, Head of Department, Mechanical Engineering, CMR College of Engineering & Technology, for supporting us and giving us an opportunity to work on this project.

## REFERENCES

[1] Theoretical and CFD Analysis of De Laval Nozzle" by Nikhil Deshpande and Suyash Vidwans. In this paper total CFD analysis of a model of De Laval nozzle has been done.

[2] Modelling and simulation of Supersonic nozzle using computational fluid dynamics, by Venkatesh V and C Jayapal Reddy.



[3] A Review on CFD Analysis of Effects of Convergence and Divergence Angles on the Performance of a Nozzle MTech. Scholar Vishwajeet Yadav, Prof. Pawan Kumar Tiwari

[4] Analysis of convergent divergent nozzle with respective to the position of fluid flow in standard and realizable turbulent model" Devendra Kumar Lohia, Navneet Singh.

[5] Trong Bui had performed experimentation on "CFD analysis of nozzle jet".

[6] K.P.S.S. Narayana and K.S. Reddy had worked on the topic of "simulation of convergent-divergent rocket nozzle using CFD analysis".

[7] Y. R. Maddu, S. Saidulu, Md. Azeem and S. Jabiulla had worked on "Design and fluid flow analysis of Convergent-divergent Nozzle".