

## MICROFINANCE AND INCLUSION OF POOR- REALITY OR MYTH

**DR. SYED KHAJA SAFIUDDIN**

Sr Assistant Professor

Department of Management

Maulana Azad National Urdu University

Email: [sksafiuddin@gmail.com](mailto:sksafiuddin@gmail.com)

### **Abstract:**

*The microfinance business as such can act as a 'miracle cure' for poverty and ensure smooth of economy. But the poor and needy household though with an access or not to financial services, find it extremely difficult to build assets, fund for their children's education, and protect themselves against financial shocks and overcome the crises they face. They are caught into a vicious circle of poverty. If at all there is a formal financial system, no more than twenty percent of the population is benefitted through micro-finance. The remaining seventy to eighty percent are those who are excluded and poor living below poverty line. With no access to financial services, these households find it extremely difficult to take advantage of economic opportunities. The unorganized and the informal sector is taking due advantage and minting money through high levels of lending rates in the name of poverty elevation, women upliftment and empowerment. It is all about others, all about people who are deprived, neglected, poor and for a social cause and social benefit. If this concept is inserted into the economic theory, it becomes social business. It should not be made as a huge money minting industry at the cost of poor and the help-less. In this paper an attempt has been made to study whether microfinance is able to realize the true potential of its clients through social intermediation and ensure in building an inclusive society and eradication of poverty and working with a social cause. The study suggests that an alternate model needs to be developed to cater the need of the deprived and economically backward.*

**Key words:** Microfinance, formal financial system, social cause & social benefit, financial shocks, poverty

### **INTRODUCTION:**

The microfinance should not be made as a huge money minting industry at the cost of poor and the help-less. The microfinance business can act as a 'miracle cure' for poverty and ensure smooth of economy. Microfinance is more of a social business rather than a profit maximizing business. It is all about others, all about people, for a social cause, for a social benefit. If this concept is inserted into the economic theory, it becomes social business. The typical microfinance clients are low-income persons who do not have access to formal financial institutions. Microfinance (MF) is a considered as a powerful tool for poverty alleviation . It implies provision of financial services to poor and low-income people whose low economic standing excludes them from formal financial systems.

The availability and access to financial services by the poor and the deprived is highly important for the success of market based and sustainable poverty alleviation programs. Access to services such as, credit, venture capital, savings, insurance, remittance is provided on a micro-scale enabling participation of those with severely limited financial means. This would help the poor to increase household income and economic security, build assets and reduce vulnerability; creates demand for other goods and services (especially nutrition, education, and health care); and stimulates local economies. A large number of studies on poverty reduction reveal that exclusion of the poor from the financial system is a major factor contributing to their inability to participate in the development process. In a typical developing economy the formal financial system serves no more than twenty to thirty percent of the population. The remaining seventy to eighty percent are those who are

excluded. This majority are poor living below poverty line. With no access to financial services, these households find it extremely difficult to take advantage of economic opportunities, build assets, finance their children's education, and protect themselves against financial requirements and shocks thereby caught into a vicious circle of poverty. Building inclusive financial systems therefore, is a central goal of policy makers and planners across the globe. In this regard, microfinance has been recognized worldwide as an important policy instrument.

The typical microfinance clients are low-income persons who do not have access to formal financial institutions. Microfinance (MF) is a powerful poverty alleviation tool. It implies provision of financial services to poor and low-income people whose low economic standing excludes them from formal financial systems. Therefore, there is a tendency among development thinkers and practitioners to gauge the impact of MFIs purely in monetary terms, i.e. eradication of income poverty.

This is not only a partial view of the potential and purpose of microfinance but also a cause of unbridled growth of MFIs. MFIs have the capacity and responsibility of empower the most vulnerable, such as women, rural artisans etc; to allow the not-yet-economically-active to become so; and to create community-based structures that build mutual support and trust. MFIs have the advantage of combining the good features of both formal and informal credit, even improving productivity and credit-worthiness through the ethics of repayment.

The condition of farmers in India and the scenario of suicides need to be examined in this context. Most conventional microfinance providers charge rates of interest that are found to be high when benchmarked against mainstream banking rates. Several reasons are usually given in defense. First, returns on investment in micro-enterprise are very high, by the standards of banks and other investors – the reason being the miniscule size of investments compared to the earnings numbers. Hence, entrepreneurs can “afford” to pay high interest rates as cost of funds (sometimes as high as sixty-seventy percent) as long as the same are lower than rates of return. And that interest rates are much less important to micro-enterprises than access, timeliness and flexibility. Second, interest rates on microfinance are pegged relatively higher, since they entail higher administrative charges, monitoring costs and are by definition, riskier than a traditional financing portfolio. (Obaidullah Mohammed and Khan Tariqullah, 2008). For microfinance, therefore there is ethical and economic justification for looking beyond income poverty or to move from financial intermediation to social intermediation. So today we not only need to introduce new products or services in the field of microfinance but also explore new frontiers of development towards social and economic cause. This results in human development in terms of infrastructure for health, education, skill and enterprise.

#### **MFIs AND MICROFINANCE MODELS:**

Microfinance institutions thus have distinct features that make them specialized components of the formal financial system. The basic point identified for departure of microfinance from mainstream financial systems is its alternative approach to collateral that comes from the concept of joint liability. In this concept individuals together form small groups and apply for financing. Members of these small groups are trained in the basic

elements of the financing and the requirements they need to comply with in order to continue to have access to funding. Funds are disbursed to individuals within the group after they are approved by other members in the group. Repayment of the financing is a shared responsibility of all of the group members. In other words they share the risk. If one member is a defaulter, the entire group members bear the risk. In most cases, microfinance programs are structured to give credit in small amounts and require repayment at weekly intervals and within a short time period— usually a month or a few months. The beneficiary looks forward to repetitive financing in a graduated manner and this also helps them mitigate risk of default.

### KEY PRINCIPLES OF MICROFINANCE:

A major initiative towards achieving the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) is formation of the Consultative Group to Assist the Poor (CGAP), a multi-donor consortium dedicated to advancing microfinance. The CGAP has come up with eleven key principles of MF based on decade-long consultations with its members and stakeholders. These are as follows:

1. Poor people need a variety of financial services, not just loans. In addition to credit, they want savings, insurance, and money transfer services.
2. Microfinance is a powerful tool to fight poverty. Poor households use financial services to raise income, build their assets, and cushion themselves against external shocks.
3. Microfinance means building financial systems that serve the poor.
4. Microfinance will reach its full potential only if it is integrated into a country's mainstream financial system.
5. Microfinance can pay for itself, and must do so if it is to reach very large numbers of poor people. Unless microfinance providers charge enough to cover their costs, they will always be limited by the scarce and uncertain supply of subsidies from governments and donors.
6. Microfinance is about building permanent local financial institutions that can attract domestic deposits, recycle them into loans, and provide other financial services.
7. Microcredit is not always the answer. Other kinds of support may work better for people who are so destitute that they are without income or means of repayment.
8. Interest rate ceilings hurt poor people by making it harder for them to get credit. Making many small loans costs more than making a few large ones. Interest rate ceilings prevent microfinance institutions from covering their costs, and thereby choke off the supply of credit for poor people.
9. The job of government is to enable financial services, not to provide them directly. Governments can almost never do a good job of lending, but they can set a supporting policy environment.
10. Donor funds should complement private capital, not compete with it. Donor subsidies should be temporary start-up support designed to get an institution to the point where it can tap private funding sources, such as deposits. The key bottleneck is the shortage of strong institutions and managers. Donors should focus their support on building capacity.
11. Microfinance works best when it measures—and discloses—its performance. Reporting not only helps stakeholders judge costs and benefits, but it also improves performance.

MFIs need to produce accurate and comparable reporting on financial performance (e.g., loan repayment and cost recovery) as well as social performance (e.g., number and poverty level of clients being served). To sum up, the principles broaden the definition of MF from micro-credit to provision of an array of financial services, such as, savings, insurance and remittance. They emphasize that access to MF and not cost of MF should be under focus in designing and implementing a poverty alleviation strategy. The strategy should aim at sustainability through a shift from a charity-based donor-dependent approach to a market-based for-profits approach emphasizing systemic efficiency and transparency and restricting use of donor funds to capacity building. The principles also underscore inclusiveness and integration of MF with the formal financial system.

### **INCLUSIVENESS AND MICROFINANCE:**

Even while the principles reflect a consensus, they do not imply or advocate a single and uniform approach to microfinance. As CGAP emphasizes, "diverse approaches are needed—a one-size-fits-all solution will not work. Diverse channels are needed to get diverse financial services into the hands of a diverse range of people who are currently excluded. Making this vision a reality entails breaking down the walls—real and imaginary—that currently separate microfinance from the much broader world of financial systems." (Brigit Helms, 2006)

Microfinance institutions (MFIs) have turned the business of lending tiny amounts to the unbanked poor—from vegetable vendors and tailors in the cities to fishermen and farmers in the villages—into a thriving industry with assets estimated at almost Rs. 12,000 crore in fiscal 2009 according to a study. But MFIs have been targeted by critics for alleged profiteering at the expense of the poor by charging interest rates as high as 24-36%.

It is argued that MFIs are causing a huge burden on the poor, leading to a vicious cycle of debt, poverty and even deaths [Kumar 2006]. Though there is no clear evidence to prove these allegations against the MFIs in AP; there is however some evidence from studies carried out at other places, which indicate that these allegations are to some extent true about MFIs in general [Hulme 2000; Mitra 2005; Rhyne 2001; Sinha and Matin 1998].

The profitability of microfinance is driving a new wave of investment into the sector. Three deals alone in the past year would cause the size of the microfinance capital markets to increase substantially. Deutsche Bank announced the formation of its Global Commercial Microfinance Consortium, a \$75 million fund that brings together over 25 institutional investors with an interest in microfinance. (Deutsche Bank, 2005).

The global recession which started in 2008 after the sub-prime crisis and the unprecedented default or rescue of many financial institutions has strongly affected the credibility of the international banking system, damaging also the real economy. Due to this joint crisis, the credit crunch is severely affecting the economy in Western globalized countries. Developing countries, not fully integrated with international markets, seem less affected and local microfinance institutions might also allow for a further shelter against recession, even if foreign support to donor driven NGOs or not fully independent

microfinance banks is slowing down and collection of international capital is harder and more expensive. (Moro Visconti, Roberto, 2008) .

Many failed MF programs owe their failure to inadequate evaluation of the client's financial condition. Provision of micro finance does not stand to reason for a person in need of social safety nets resulting in the funds being consumed away instead of being invested. The poor come in disparate categories with varying needs of consumption and productive investment and risk of delinquency and default (Obaidullah Mohammad, 2008)

### **MICROFINANCE AND ISLAMIC IDEALOGY:**

Microfinance and Islamic finance have much in common. Islam emphasizes ethical, moral, social, and religious factors to promote equality and fairness for the good of society as a whole. Principles encouraging risk sharing, individual rights and duties, property rights, and the sanctity of contracts are all part of the Islamic code underlying the financial system. In this light, many elements of microfinance are consistent with the broader goals of Islamic finance. Both advocate entrepreneurship and risk sharing and believe that the poor should take part in such activities. Both focus on developmental and social goals. Both advocate financial inclusion, entrepreneurship and risk-sharing through partnership finance. Both involve participation by the poor. (Rahul Dhumale and Amela Sapcanin, 2006)

The interest rates prevailing in the microfinance sector are very high and are certainly much higher than the rates of formal agencies. Even SHGs which are linked to formal banks normally charge 2 per cent per month to their ultimate borrowers. With regard to MFIs, though the rates are much lower than the informal sector, they have ended up creating an interest rate structure which is only second best for the poor. At times it becomes difficult to estimate the effective rate of interest charged by the MFIs. Not many MFIs make it clear to their borrowers what the effective rate would be. So, there is a need for an alternate system which from interest towards the upliftment of the deprived and needful at a very low cost to fulfill the expired expenses attached to such activity. It is a social business.

But there is a need to examine Islamic microfinance at three levels – micro level (microfinance institutions, contracts/products and resources), meso level (financial infrastructures) and macro level (policy and regulatory framework). At a micro level, the major challenges to microfinance providers arise from their diverse organizational structures, lack of product diversification and poor linkages with banks and capital markets. Some strategic initiatives are suggested as solutions, such as, a move towards collective resolution of Shariah issues, enhancement of product range through research and financial engineering and increased participation of banks in microfinance through provision of credit guarantees and safety nets. Meso level initiatives constitute provision of education and training, better coordination and networking, technical assistance through Awqaf and Zakah Funds, provision of rating services specific to Islamic microfinance institutions in view of their unique risks through creation of a rating fund. Macro level initiatives constitute development of an enabling regulatory and policy environment (Obaidullah, Mohammed and Khan Tariqullah, 2008)

There are some ethical aspects of lending and borrowing loans in the larger interest of the society. In the current scenario and global economic conditions, where the interest-based



system is towards its decline. An alternate model is needed to be developed which is free from interest and several other elements which are unethical and unsocial. Islamic concept of micro-finance is the best alternative. Islamic MF products must be free from interest and several other elements forbidden under Islamic law. (Obaidullah Mohammad, 2008)

Even if poverty decreases through micro-finance, albeit at a slow rate, poverty is rampant in a country that has the largest presence of micro-finance programs in the world. Micro-finance is not to blame for this high incidence of poverty. We must admit that micro-finance is only an instrument among a large number of poverty reduction strategies that policymakers must pursue to reduce poverty. Certainly growth is a significant factor in reducing poverty. Investment in human capital and other means to empower the poor are also important tools for reducing poverty. Similarly, micro-finance intervention reduces poverty for a small percentage of the poor, and certainly provides an institutional credit and savings facility to a large percentage of the poor, especially women. The role of micro-finance must be evaluated from such perspectives. (Shahid Khandker, 2001).

### 1. Non-Profit Model of Microfinance

There are three major non-profit modes of microfinance as per Islamic principles— *zakah*, *awqaf* and *qard hasan*. A model of microfinance is discussed here. This is a model that uses non-profit modes only, but may be easily modified to incorporate for-profit modes as well. The model has the following characteristics.

1. Islamic Microfinance Institution (IsMFI) or Program creates a Zakah Fund with contribution from muzakki;
2. Program facilitates Waqf of physical assets as well as monetary assets. The physical assets are used to facilitate education and skills training. The monetary assets may be in the form of a cash waqf, or simply as ordinary sadaqa;
3. Program carefully identifies the poorest of the poor and the destitute who are economically inactive and directs a part of Zakah Fund towards meeting their basic necessities as grant, seeks to provide a safety net;
4. Program provides skills training to economically inactive, utilizing community-held physical assets under waqf;
5. Beneficiaries graduate with improved skills and managerial acumen;
6. Beneficiaries are formed into groups with mutual guarantee under the concept of kafala;
7. Financing is provided on the basis of qard hasan to the group; also to individuals backed by guarantee under the concept of kafala;
8. Group members pay back and in turn, are provided higher levels of financing;
9. Additional guarantee against default by the group is provided by the Zakah Fund and actual defaulting accounts are paid off with zakah funds; this is indeed the distinct feature of this model;
10. Group members are encouraged to save under appropriate microsavings schemes;
11. Groups members are encouraged to form a Takaful Fund to provide micro-insurance against unforeseen risks and uncertainties resulting in loss of livelihood, sickness and so on; The distinguishing feature of this model, is the use of kafala as a guarantee

mechanism at the group or individual level. Kafala also forms the basis of designing a mutual guarantee (takaful) scheme against specific risks and uncertainties. (Mohammad Obaidullah, 2008)

## 2. Profit Model of Microfinance

Islamic approach to poverty alleviation is a composite of a mission based and market-based interventions. Microfinance in Islam need not be restricted to not-for-profit modes alone. Islam permits for-profit trade and creation of wealth. In terms of the earlier model of microfinance that uses not for-profit modes only, it is easy to see that the poor who fall into “economically active” category may be provided financing using for-profit modes as well. The members of such groups do not belong to the extremely poor or the destitute category and are in a position to create wealth for themselves, for the Islamic Microfinance Institution (IsMFI) and for the society. (Mohammad Obaidullah, 2008)

The poor need a range of microfinance services, such as, micro-savings, micro-credit, micro-equity, micro-*takaful* and micro-remittance. In the context of mainstream Islamic finance, we come across a host of for-profit modes through which such services may be provided to the poor. These could be used for microfinance with minor modifications wherever needed.

### SUGGESTED PROFIT MODE OF FINANCING BASED ON ISLAMIC PRINCIPLE:

Murabaha (Bai muajjal) is a sale where payment of price is deferred to a future date. Often it includes features of a murabaha, which implies a sale on a cost-plus basis. As a micro-credit product, bai muajjal-murabaha is a very popular, and perhaps the most popular product among Islamic microfinance institutions (IsMFI). The mechanism may be described as follows. Individual A is in need of commodity X. He approaches IsMFI. Now, IsMFI buys X from the vendor/supplier at price P. This price is also known to A. Next, IsMFI sells X to A at a marked-up price, say  $P+M$ , where M is the agreed profit or mark-up taken by IsMFI. The payment of price  $P+M$  is now deferred to a future date and is made in full or in parts. This implies deferment of payment of price irrespective of whether the cost and mark-up are known to parties or not.

### CONCLUSION:

Microfinance is only used as a tool for poverty elevation and upliftment of the poor. This business should be more social than commercial. There fore, any of the two alternate modes i.e profit or non-profit can be used for this purpose. But imposing a huge rate of interest is not at all justified which in most of the cases is more than the rate of earnings by the microfinance beneficiary.

Microfinance must be more of a social business rather than a profit maximizing business. It should be all about others, all about people who are deprived, neglected, poor and for a social cause and social benefit. If this concept is inserted into the economic theory, it becomes social business. It should not be made as a huge money minting industry at the cost of poor and the help-less. The microfinance business as such can act as a ‘miracle cure’ for poverty and ensure smooth of economy.

**REFERENCES:**

1. Ahmed Habib(2002), Financing Microenterprises: An Analytical Study Of Islamic Micro Finance Institutions, Islamic Economic Studies, March 2002, 9(2)
2. Brigit Helms (2006), Access to All: Building Inclusive Financial Systems, Consultative Group to Assist the Poor, World Bank, 2006
3. CGAP (2002): Making Sense of Microfinance Interest Rates, Donor Brief No 6, Consultative Group to Assist the Poorest, Washington DC.
4. Deutsche Bank (2005), press release, March 11, 2005
5. Hulme, David (2000): 'Is Microdebt Good for Poor People? A Note on the Dark Side of Microfinance', Small Enterprise Development, 11(1), March, pp 26-28.
6. Kumar, S Nagesh (2006): 'The Making of Debt Trap in Andhra Pradesh', The Hindu, April 20.
7. Mitra, Subrata Kumar (2005): "Asking Price of Microfinance Loan to Poor Borrowers".
8. Moro Visconti, Roberto (2008), Global Recession and Microfinance in Developing Countries: Threats and Opportunities, Social Science Research Network SSRN Working Paper, March 2009.
9. Obaidullah, Mohammed and Khan, Tariqullah (2008), Islamic Microfinance Development: Challenges and Initiatives . Islamic Research & Training institute Social Science Research Network SSRN Working Paper, May 2008.
10. Obaidullah Mohammad (2008), "Role of Microfinance in Poverty Alleviation: Lessons from Experiences in Selected IDB Member Countries", Islamic Development Bank, 2008
11. Panduranga (2014), Performance and Challenges of MSMEs in India, Lambert Academic Publishing, Germany, 2014
12. Rahul Dhumale and Amela Sapcanin (2006), An Application of Islamic Banking Principles to Microfinance, Technical Note, Regional Bureau for Arab States, UNDP.
13. Safiuddin Syed Khaja (2011), Is Micro finance a Profit maximizing industry or a Social Business, Indian Journal of Commerce & Mgmt Studies, Feb-Mar 2011,2(1), pp 138-145
14. Safiuddin, S K (2012), Managerial Finance and Research (Macro and Micro Perspective), published by Lambert Academic Publishers, Germany November, 2012
15. Safiuddin, S K and Mohammad, G (2012), Islamic Micro-finance- An important tool for economic development, Business Excellence, Paramount Publishers, 2012
16. Shahid Khandker (2001), Does Micro-finance Really Benefit the Poor? - Evidence from Bangladesh Asia and Pacific Forum on Poverty: Reforming Policies and Institutions for Poverty Reduction, Asian Development Bank, Manila, 5-9 February 2001.
17. Shylendra, H.S (2006), "Microfinance Institutions in Andhra Pradesh- Crisis and Diagnosis", Economic and Political Weekly, May 2006
18. Sinha, Saurabh and Imran Matin (1998): 'Informal Credit Transactions of Microcredit Borrowers in Bangladesh', IDS Bulletin, 29 (4), pp 66-80.
19. The Economist. (2005). "From Charity to Business." The Economist Nov 5th 2005
20. Yunus Muhammad (2003), Banker to the Poor: Micro-Lending and the Battle Against World Poverty, PublicAffairs, USA, October 2003