

MOLECULAR REPLACEMENT PHASING STRATEGIES FOR NOVEL ENZYME CRYSTAL STRUCTURES: AN INDIAN PERSPECTIVE

Dr. S.E. Naina Vinodini

Assistant Professor of Physics
BJR Government Degree College
Narayanaguda Hyderabad.

Abstract

The determination of three-dimensional protein structures is fundamental to modern structural biology, enzymology, biotechnology, drug discovery and rational protein engineering. X-ray crystallography remains one of the most powerful experimental approaches for determining the atomic structures of enzymes and their complexes. However, obtaining an interpretable electron-density map requires not only high-quality diffraction data but also successful determination of the crystallographic phases. Molecular replacement (MR) has emerged as one of the most widely used phasing strategies for protein crystal structures, particularly when a structurally related protein model is available. The increasing availability of protein sequence databases, structural databases, predicted protein structures and computational modelling tools has substantially expanded the applicability of molecular replacement to novel enzyme structures.

In India, molecular replacement-based structural biology has considerable relevance because of the country's expanding research activities in enzymology, infectious diseases, antimicrobial resistance, agriculture, industrial biotechnology, metabolic engineering and pharmaceutical research. National facilities such as the protein crystallography beamline PX-BL21 at Indus-2, Raja Ramanna Centre for Advanced Technology

(RRCAT), together with structural-biology facilities at institutions such as CSIR-Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology (CCMB), Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics and other universities and research laboratories, provide important infrastructure for macromolecular crystallography. The PX-BL21 facility supports conventional diffraction as well as single- and multi-wavelength anomalous diffraction experiments, thereby complementing molecular-replacement approaches.

This article reviews the principles of molecular replacement, preparation of search models, sequence and structural homology, model bias, rotation and translation searches, rigid-body refinement, molecular replacement using predicted structures, and integration with modern computational approaches. Particular attention is given to applications relevant to Indian enzyme research, including pathogen enzymes, agricultural enzymes, industrial biocatalysts, metabolic pathways and therapeutic targets. The article also discusses challenges such as low sequence identity, conformational differences, oligomeric-state ambiguity, domain flexibility, poor diffraction data and model bias. Finally, an India-oriented workflow integrating bioinformatics, AlphaFold-type structure prediction, molecular replacement, X-ray diffraction, refinement and experimental validation is proposed. Such an integrated

strategy can reduce experimental time, improve the success rate of structure determination and strengthen India's capacity for structural biology and structure-guided biotechnology.

Keywords: *Molecular replacement; X-ray crystallography; enzyme structures; protein crystallography; phasing; structural biology; Indian structural biology; AlphaFold; protein modelling; drug discovery; enzyme engineering; biotechnology.*

1. Introduction

Enzymes are biological catalysts that control almost every major biochemical reaction in living organisms. Their catalytic activity depends strongly on their three-dimensional structures, particularly the arrangement of active-site residues, cofactors, substrate-binding pockets, flexible loops and oligomeric interfaces. Consequently, determining enzyme structures at atomic or near-atomic resolution is essential for understanding catalytic mechanisms, designing inhibitors, engineering improved enzymes and developing industrial and therapeutic applications.

X-ray crystallography has historically played a central role in determining the structures of proteins and enzymes. In a typical crystallographic experiment, X-rays are diffracted by a protein crystal and produce a set of measured diffraction intensities. However, the intensities alone are insufficient to directly reconstruct the electron-density distribution because the corresponding phase information is not experimentally recorded in a conventional diffraction experiment. This is commonly known as the **phase problem**.

Phasing therefore represents one of the most important stages of macromolecular crystallography.

Several approaches have been developed to overcome the phase problem. These include experimental methods such as multiple isomorphous replacement (MIR), single-wavelength anomalous diffraction (SAD), multi-wavelength anomalous diffraction (MAD), and computational approaches such as molecular replacement (MR).

Molecular replacement has become particularly important because it can exploit previously known structural information. When a homologous or structurally related protein structure is available, that model can be positioned within the experimentally determined crystal lattice to estimate the unknown phases.

The growing availability of protein structures and computationally predicted structures has further expanded the potential of molecular replacement. Modern structure-prediction systems can provide useful search models even when no experimentally determined structure of a close homolog is available.

For India, these developments are particularly relevant. Indian researchers are increasingly engaged in structural biology, infectious disease research, enzyme engineering, pharmaceutical development, agricultural biotechnology and industrial biocatalysis. The availability of national crystallographic infrastructure provides an important foundation for such work.

2. Historical Background of Molecular Replacement

The development of molecular replacement is closely associated with the realization that

known structural information could be used to solve the phase problem for related proteins.

The basic concept is relatively straightforward. If the structure of one protein is already known and another protein has a sufficiently similar three-dimensional structure, the known structure can be used as a search model. The objective is to determine how the model is oriented and positioned inside the new crystal unit cell.

The method became increasingly powerful with the development of computational crystallographic software. Programs such as **MOLREP**, **AMoRe**, **Phaser** and associated crystallographic packages enabled systematic rotation and translation searches. The molecular-replacement workflow can therefore be understood as a combination of:

1. Selection of a suitable search model.
2. Preparation of the search model.
3. Rotation search.
4. Translation search.
5. Assessment of the molecular-replacement solution.
6. Initial refinement.
7. Manual model rebuilding.
8. Final structure validation.

The development of structural databases such as the Protein Data Bank (PDB) greatly increased the usefulness of molecular replacement because researchers could identify homologous structures before beginning crystallographic phasing.

More recently, predicted protein structures have created another major source of search models.

3. The Molecular Replacement Principle

Molecular replacement is based on the assumption that the unknown protein

structure and the search model have sufficiently similar three-dimensional features.

The fundamental problem is to determine two transformations:

Rotation

The search model must first be rotated so that its structural features are appropriately oriented relative to the crystal lattice.

Translation

After determining the appropriate orientation, the model must be positioned at the correct location within the unit cell.

Thus, molecular replacement can be simplified as:

Known structural model → Rotation search → Translation search → Initial phases → Electron-density map → Model refinement

If the correct molecular-replacement solution is obtained, the calculated phases can be combined with experimentally observed amplitudes to generate an initial electron-density map.

4. Why Molecular Replacement Is Important for Enzyme Structures

Enzymes frequently belong to large structural and evolutionary families. Consequently, an unknown enzyme may share structural characteristics with one or more proteins whose structures have already been determined.

For example, members of a particular enzyme family may possess:

- conserved catalytic residues;
- similar folds;
- conserved substrate-binding motifs;
- similar oligomeric arrangements;
- conserved cofactors;
- related domain architectures.

These similarities can make molecular replacement highly effective.

For Indian researchers working on enzymes from bacteria, fungi, plants, parasites and other organisms, molecular replacement can reduce dependence on more demanding experimental phasing strategies when an appropriate structural model is available.

5. Preparation of a Search Model

The quality of the search model strongly influences the success of molecular replacement.

A search model can potentially be obtained from:

- an experimentally determined homologous structure;
- a close structural homolog from the PDB;
- a homology model;
- a predicted protein structure;
- an individual protein domain;
- an oligomeric model.

The most important considerations include sequence identity, structural similarity, domain organization and conformational state.

A high sequence identity generally increases the likelihood that the search model will be useful, but sequence identity alone is not sufficient. A protein with relatively modest sequence identity may still have a highly conserved overall fold and therefore function successfully as a molecular-replacement model.

6. Sequence-Based Identification of Suitable Models

Bioinformatics is an important preliminary step in molecular replacement.

The amino-acid sequence of the novel enzyme can be compared against databases to identify homologous proteins.

Typical approaches include:

- BLAST searches;
- sequence alignment;
- profile-based searches;
- domain identification;
- structural similarity searches;
- conserved-motif analysis.

The researcher should examine not only sequence identity but also:

- alignment coverage;
- missing regions;
- insertions and deletions;
- catalytic residues;
- domain architecture;
- oligomerization state.

A search model covering only one domain may be preferable to a complete but poorly matching protein.

7. Structure-Based Search Models

When sequence similarity is weak, structural similarity becomes particularly important.

The PDB provides experimentally determined structures that can be examined for possible homologues. Structural comparison can reveal similarities that are not obvious from sequence alignment.

In recent years, predicted structures have become increasingly useful. A predicted structure may serve as an initial search model when no suitable experimental homologue is available.

However, predicted models must be evaluated carefully. Regions with low structural confidence, flexible loops, disordered segments and incorrect domain orientations can interfere with molecular replacement.

Therefore, it may be advantageous to remove poorly predicted regions before using a predicted structure as a search model.

8. Molecular Replacement Using Predicted Protein Structures

The increasing availability of predicted protein structures represents an important development in structural biology.

For a newly characterized Indian enzyme for which no close experimental homolog is available, a computationally predicted structure can potentially provide a starting model.

A practical workflow may involve:

Protein sequence → Structure prediction → Model assessment → Model preparation → Molecular replacement → Refinement → Experimental validation

This workflow can be particularly useful for enzymes associated with:

- pathogenic microorganisms;
- neglected tropical diseases;
- agricultural pathogens;
- industrial microorganisms;
- metabolic engineering;
- environmental biotechnology.

Nevertheless, predicted structures should not automatically be regarded as experimental structures. Their role in molecular replacement is to provide a plausible structural hypothesis that can be tested and refined against experimental diffraction data.

9. Model Preparation Before Molecular Replacement

Search models frequently require modification before they are used.

Common preparation steps include:

Removal of water molecules

Solvent molecules present in the original structure are generally unnecessary for the initial molecular-replacement search.

Removal of ligands

Bound ligands may be removed if they are not expected to be present in the target structure.

Trimming flexible regions

Highly variable loops may produce model bias and reduce the quality of the search.

Removal of non-conserved residues

Regions that differ significantly between the target and search model may be trimmed.

Domain separation

If the target protein contains multiple domains with flexible relative orientations, individual domains can sometimes be searched separately.

Appropriate model preparation can significantly improve molecular-replacement performance.

10. Rotation Search

During the rotation search, the program evaluates different orientations of the search model.

The objective is to identify the orientation that best matches the Patterson function or other crystallographic information derived from the observed diffraction data.

A correct rotation solution generally produces a significantly stronger signal than incorrect orientations.

However, interpretation should not depend on a single numerical score. Other parameters and subsequent translation searches must also be examined.

11. Translation Search

After determining an appropriate orientation, the molecular-replacement

program attempts to determine the position of the model within the unit cell.

The correct translation solution should place the model in a physically meaningful location while satisfying the crystallographic symmetry.

Modern programs such as Phaser combine statistical approaches with rotation and translation searches to evaluate potential solutions.

A convincing solution should subsequently produce:

- improved electron density;
- reasonable packing;
- appropriate crystallographic statistics;
- a reduction in the initial R-factor;
- interpretable structural features.

12. Evaluating a Molecular-Replacement Solution

Obtaining a numerical solution does not automatically mean that molecular replacement has succeeded.

The researcher should evaluate:

1. Molecular-replacement scores.
2. Difference between the best and next-best solution.
3. Packing interactions.
4. Initial refinement statistics.
5. Electron-density maps.
6. Agreement with known biological information.
7. Position of conserved catalytic residues.

A correct solution should produce an interpretable electron-density map.

For enzyme structures, special attention should be given to the active site.

If the catalytic residues appear in chemically plausible positions and the electron density

supports the proposed structure, confidence in the solution increases.

13. Molecular Replacement and Enzyme Active Sites

The active site is often the most biologically significant region of an enzyme structure.

Molecular replacement provides an initial structural framework, but experimental electron density is required to establish the actual target structure.

This distinction is important because homologous enzymes may differ in:

- substrate specificity;
- catalytic residue orientation;
- loop conformation;
- active-site geometry;
- cofactor binding;
- ligand recognition.

Therefore, molecular replacement should be regarded as a phasing strategy rather than a substitute for experimental structural determination.

14. Molecular Replacement in Indian Enzyme Research

India has a growing research ecosystem in structural biology and molecular biophysics. National institutions have established facilities for macromolecular crystallography and related techniques. CSIR-CCMB, for example, maintains an Integrative Structural Biology programme with X-ray, NMR, electron microscopy and biophysics facilities. Its X-ray facility supports three-dimensional structure determination and computational structural modelling. The Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics has also reported structural-biology research involving X-ray crystallography, spectroscopy, cellular biology and molecular

biology, including studies of enzymes and protein interactions.

These facilities provide an important research environment for Indian scientists investigating enzyme structure and function.

15. The Role of RRCAT–Indus-2 in Indian Protein Crystallography

One of the particularly important national facilities is the protein crystallography beamline PX-BL21 at RRCAT, Indore.

The beamline is designed for macromolecular crystallography involving proteins, nucleic acids and their complexes. It provides energy tunability and supports SAD and MAD experiments. The facility also includes biochemical and crystallization infrastructure, cryogenic facilities and computational tools for processing crystallographic data.

The PX-BL21 beamline operates within the Indus-2 synchrotron radiation facility. Indus-2 is a 2.5-GeV synchrotron radiation source with multiple beamlines for scientific research.

his infrastructure is important because molecular replacement and experimental phasing are complementary rather than competing approaches.

When molecular replacement fails because an adequate search model is unavailable, SAD or MAD experiments can provide alternative routes to phase determination.

16. Molecular Replacement Versus Experimental Phasing

Molecular replacement has several practical advantages:

- relatively rapid;
- computationally efficient;
- does not necessarily require heavy-atom derivatization;

- can use existing structural information;
- compatible with routine protein crystallography;
- particularly useful for protein families with known structures.

Experimental phasing methods remain important when:

- no suitable search model exists;
- sequence identity is extremely low;
- the target structure is highly divergent;
- major conformational changes occur;
- molecular replacement gives ambiguous solutions.

India's availability of PX-BL21, including SAD/MAD capability, therefore provides an important complementary strategy when molecular replacement is unsuccessful.

17. Challenges in Molecular Replacement

Despite its advantages, molecular replacement has several limitations.

17.1 Low Sequence Identity

When the target and search models are evolutionarily distant, structural differences can become substantial.

17.2 Conformational Changes

Enzymes may undergo significant conformational changes following:

- ligand binding;
- substrate recognition;
- mutation;
- oligomerization;
- crystallization.

17.3 Flexible Domains

Large flexible domains may prevent a complete model from fitting the target crystal.

17.4 Incorrect Oligomeric State

A search model representing a monomer may be inappropriate when the target forms a dimer or higher-order oligomer.

17.5 Model Bias

An inappropriate search model can introduce bias into the calculated phases and potentially lead to incorrect interpretation.

17.6 Poor Diffraction

Low-resolution or anisotropic diffraction can make molecular-replacement searches more difficult.

18. Improving Molecular Replacement Success

Several strategies can improve the likelihood of success.

Strategy 1: Search Multiple Models

Instead of relying on one search model, researchers can test several homologues.

Strategy 2: Use Ensembles

A set of related structural models can capture conformational variability.

Strategy 3: Trim Variable Regions

Poorly conserved loops and flexible regions can be removed.

Strategy 4: Search Individual Domains

Separate searches may be useful for multidomain enzymes.

Strategy 5: Use Predicted Structures

Computational predictions can supplement experimentally determined homologues.

Strategy 6: Combine Molecular Replacement with Experimental Phasing

If molecular replacement remains ambiguous, SAD or MAD experiments can be considered.

19. Integration with Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence and machine learning are increasingly influencing structural biology.

AI-based structure prediction can provide candidate models for proteins whose experimental structures are unavailable.

This creates an important new possibility:

Indian enzyme sequence → AI-based structure prediction → molecular replacement → X-ray diffraction → refinement → experimentally validated structure

Such integration could reduce the time between enzyme discovery and structural characterization.

However, AI-generated models should be experimentally validated and should not replace crystallographic evidence.

20. Applications in Drug Discovery

Enzyme structures are important targets in pharmaceutical research.

Knowledge of the three-dimensional structure can help identify:

- catalytic pockets;
- substrate-binding sites;
- allosteric sites;
- inhibitor-binding regions;
- protein-protein interaction interfaces.

Structure-guided drug discovery can then use these structural features to design or optimize candidate molecules.

This has particular relevance for India because of its large pharmaceutical, biotechnology and generic-drug sectors.

Structural characterization of pathogen enzymes can also contribute to the development of new antimicrobial and antiparasitic strategies.

21. Applications in Infectious Disease Research

Many infectious organisms depend on essential enzymes for:

- DNA replication;

- RNA synthesis;
- protein synthesis;
- energy metabolism;
- cell-wall biosynthesis;
- host-cell invasion.

Determining the structures of such enzymes can help researchers understand their biological mechanisms.

In the Indian context, structural studies may contribute to research on pathogens of national and global importance, including bacterial, viral, fungal and parasitic organisms.

The combination of structural biology, genomics, computational modelling and biochemical experiments can provide a more complete understanding of pathogen biology.

22. Applications in Agricultural Biotechnology

India's agricultural sector provides another important application area.

Enzymes involved in:

- plant metabolism;
- plant-pathogen interactions;
- nutrient utilization;
- stress responses;
- microbial biocontrol;
- biofertilizer activity;
- biomass degradation

can be studied structurally.

Structural knowledge may help researchers engineer enzymes with improved activity, stability or substrate specificity.

Such approaches could contribute to the development of environmentally sustainable agricultural biotechnology.

23. Applications in Industrial Enzyme Engineering

Industrial biotechnology requires enzymes that function efficiently under demanding conditions.

Desired characteristics may include:

- thermal stability;
- solvent tolerance;
- high catalytic activity;
- altered substrate specificity;
- resistance to proteolysis;
- improved pH tolerance.

Structural information can reveal why particular amino-acid substitutions improve enzyme performance.

Molecular replacement therefore indirectly contributes to enzyme engineering by enabling the determination of structures needed to understand structure-function relationships.

24. Indian Biotechnology and Structural Biology Ecosystem

The Indian research environment is increasingly moving toward integration among biotechnology, computational biology, artificial intelligence and high-performance experimentation.

The development of national biological-data infrastructure and the expansion of biotechnology programmes create opportunities for structural biology to become more closely connected with genomics, proteomics and computational modelling.

The Indian Biological Data Centre has also documented crystallographic datasets involving molecular replacement and experiments performed at the RRCAT Indus-2 PX-BL21 beamline, demonstrating the relevance of molecular-replacement workflows within India's biological-data ecosystem.

Such publicly accessible research data can potentially support reproducibility, comparative structural analysis and future computational studies.

25. Proposed Indian Workflow for Novel Enzyme Structures

A practical India-oriented workflow can be represented as follows:

Step 1: Enzyme discovery

Identify an enzyme through genomics, transcriptomics, metagenomics or biochemical screening.

↓

Step 2: Gene cloning and expression

Clone the gene and establish a suitable recombinant expression system.

↓

Step 3: Protein purification

Purify the recombinant enzyme using chromatographic methods.

↓

Step 4: Crystallization

Optimize crystallization conditions using systematic screening.

↓

Step 5: X-ray diffraction

Collect diffraction data using an appropriate laboratory source or national facility such as PX-BL21 at RRCAT when suitable.

↓

Step 6: Search-model identification

Search the PDB and relevant databases for structural homologues.

↓

Step 7: Predicted-model generation

If required, obtain a computationally predicted structure.

↓

Step 8: Model preparation

Trim flexible regions and remove inappropriate ligands or solvent molecules.

↓

Step 9: Molecular replacement

Perform rotation and translation searches using appropriate crystallographic software.

↓

Step 10: Refinement

Refine the successful solution against experimental diffraction data.

↓

Step 11: Model rebuilding

Inspect electron-density maps and rebuild regions that differ from the search model.

↓

Step 12: Validation

Evaluate stereochemistry, geometry, R-work, R-free, Ramachandran statistics and other validation parameters.

↓

Step 13: Biological interpretation

Analyze active sites, catalytic residues, substrate-binding regions and molecular interactions.

This integrated workflow can make enzyme structure determination more systematic and reproducible.

26. Role of Training and Human Expertise

Technology alone cannot guarantee successful structure determination.

Researchers need expertise in:

- protein biochemistry;
- crystallization;
- X-ray diffraction;
- crystallographic computation;
- structural bioinformatics;
- molecular biology;
- enzyme kinetics;
- computational modelling.

Therefore, Indian universities and research institutions should strengthen interdisciplinary training programmes combining structural biology, bioinformatics, artificial intelligence and biotechnology.

Hands-on training in crystallographic software such as CCP4, PHENIX, Coot, XDS and molecular-replacement programmes can be particularly valuable.

The RRCAT PX-BL21 facility itself provides access to data-processing software and associated infrastructure, illustrating the importance of integrated experimental and computational environments.

27. Importance of Open Data and Research Repositories

Modern structural biology increasingly depends on open scientific databases.

Repositories such as the Protein Data Bank provide experimentally determined structures that can be used as search models for new molecular-replacement experiments. Indian biological-data initiatives can complement these international resources by improving the availability and preservation of Indian-generated biological datasets.

Proper deposition of structural coordinates, diffraction data where appropriate, experimental metadata and computational models can improve:

- reproducibility;
- collaboration;
- education;
- computational method development;
- future structural analysis.

28. Future Prospects

The future of molecular replacement is likely to be increasingly integrated with

artificial intelligence, structural prediction and automated crystallography.

Several developments are particularly promising:

1. AI-generated structural search models.
2. Automated molecular-replacement pipelines.
3. Improved prediction of oligomeric assemblies.
4. AI-assisted model trimming.
5. Automated interpretation of electron-density maps.
6. Integration of crystallography with cryo-electron microscopy.
7. Machine-learning-assisted enzyme engineering.
8. Automated ligand identification.
9. Large-scale structural characterization of enzyme families.
10. Integration of structural data with Indian genomic and biological datasets.

The combination of prediction and experiment represents a particularly important direction.

AI can propose a structural hypothesis, whereas X-ray crystallography can experimentally test that hypothesis.

Thus:

**Prediction → Experiment → Validation
→ Refinement → New Prediction**

can become a continuous cycle of structural discovery.

29. Recommendations for Indian Research Institutions

To strengthen molecular-replacement-based structural biology in India, the following measures can be considered:

29.1 Strengthen Shared Facilities

National and regional structural-biology facilities should be supported as shared research infrastructure.

29.2 Expand Computational Capacity

High-performance computing resources should be made available for molecular modelling, structure prediction and crystallographic calculations.

29.3 Encourage Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Biochemists, crystallographers, computational biologists, AI researchers and medicinal chemists should work together.

29.4 Develop Training Programmes

Regular workshops should be organized on:

- molecular replacement;
- protein crystallization;
- X-ray diffraction;
- PHENIX;
- CCP4;
- Coot;
- structural bioinformatics.

29.5 Improve Data Sharing

Researchers should be encouraged to deposit and document structural data appropriately.

29.6 Connect Structure with Application

Structural biology should increasingly be linked to:

- drug discovery;
- vaccine research;
- enzyme engineering;
- agriculture;
- industrial biotechnology;
- antimicrobial research.

30. Conclusion

Molecular replacement has become one of the most important computational strategies for solving the phase problem in protein crystallography. Its strength arises from the ability to exploit previously determined or

computationally predicted structural information to generate initial phases for a new crystal structure.

For novel enzyme structures, molecular replacement can substantially simplify the pathway from diffraction data to an interpretable three-dimensional model. The availability of homologous structures, improved structural databases, powerful crystallographic software and modern protein-structure prediction methods has significantly expanded its usefulness.

The Indian structural-biology ecosystem provides an increasingly strong foundation for these activities. Facilities such as the PX-BL21 protein crystallography beamline at RRCAT-Indus-2 support macromolecular crystallography and SAD/MAD experiments, while institutions such as CSIR-CCMB and other national research centres provide complementary structural-biology capabilities.

For India, the greatest opportunity lies not merely in adopting molecular replacement as a crystallographic technique, but in integrating it with genomics, bioinformatics, artificial intelligence, protein engineering, drug discovery and biotechnology.

An Indian research workflow that combines:
genome → enzyme discovery → recombinant expression → crystallization → X-ray diffraction → AI/predicted structural model → molecular replacement → refinement → functional interpretation

can accelerate the characterization of novel enzymes and strengthen India's capabilities in structural biology.

Ultimately, molecular replacement should be viewed as an enabling bridge between

computational knowledge and experimental evidence. The search model provides a hypothesis; the diffraction experiment tests that hypothesis; and refinement produces an experimentally supported molecular structure. This combination of computation, experimentation and biological interpretation will remain central to the future development of enzyme research, biotechnology and structure-guided drug discovery in India.

References

1. Rossmann, M. G., & Blow, D. M. (1962). The detection of sub-units within the crystallographic asymmetric unit. *Acta Crystallographica*, 15, 24–31.
2. Read, R. J. (2001). Pushing the boundaries of molecular replacement with maximum likelihood. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography*, 57, 1373–1382.
3. McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Adams, P. D., Winn, M. D., Storoni, L. C., & Read, R. J. (2007). Phaser crystallographic software. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 40, 658–674.
4. Winn, M. D., Ballard, C. C., Cowtan, K. D., et al. (2011). Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography*, 67, 235–242.
5. Liebschner, D., Afonine, P. V., Baker, M. L., et al. (2019). Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: Recent developments in Phenix. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Structural Biology*, 75, 861–877.
6. Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., et al. (2012). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature*, 596, 583–589.
7. Varadi, M., Anyango, S., Deshpande, M., et al. (2012). AlphaFold Protein Structure Database: Massively expanding the structural coverage of protein-sequence space with high-accuracy models. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 50, D439–D444.
8. Kumar, A., Ghosh, B., Poswal, H. K., Pandey, K. K., Jagannath, Hosur, M. V., Dwivedi, A., Makde, R. D., & Sharma, S. M. (2016). Protein crystallography beamline (PX-BL21) at Indus-2 synchrotron. *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*, 23, 629–634. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S160057751600076X> (PubMed)
9. Raja Ramanna Centre for Advanced Technology. (2016). Protein crystallography beamline PX-BL21 at Indus-2. Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India. (RRCAT e-Sandesh)
10. CSIR-Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology. (2016). Integrative Structural Biology: X-ray facility. Government of India. (CCMB)
11. Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics. (2016). Crystallography and Molecular Biology Division. Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India. (Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics)
12. Indian Biological Data Centre. (2016). Structural biology and crystallographic experimental data. Regional Centre for Biotechnology, Government of India. (IBDC)
13. Rupp, B. (2015). Biomolecular crystallography: Principles, practice, and application to structural biology. *Garland Science*.
14. Drenth, J. (2007). Principles of protein X-ray crystallography (3rd ed.). *Springer*.
15. Read, R. J., & McCoy, A. J. (2016). Using molecular replacement to solve protein crystal structures. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Structural Biology*, 72, 179–182.