

ASSESSMENT OF MARINE LITTER AT MANDVI CREEK OF VENGURLA, SINDHUDURG

Ravina Gawas

P.G. Department of Zoology, Shri Pancham Khemraj Mahavidyalaya, Sawantwadi,416510 ravinagawas88gmail.com

D. L. Bharmal

P.G. Department of Zoology, Shri Pancham Khemraj Mahavidyalaya, Sawantwadi,416510

ABSTRACT

Mandvi creek of Vengurla has rich and vast patch of mangrove vegetation. Due to nearby residential areas, fishing, religious activities the creek has got polluted with domestic waste as well as plastic litter. The present study focused on different types of marine litter accumulated in the water basin. The litter was classified into seven categories namely plastic waste, thermocol, footwear, clothes, plastic bottles, glass bottles, fishing net debris etc.

Key words: Vengurla, Mandvi, Estuary, Litter, Recycle.

Introduction

Marine litter can be defined as any litter that is disposed, dumped abandoned in marine environment (Pham et al., 2014). It is composed of things that are used or made by people which are discarded or are unknowingly lost on beaches or into the sea and are transported to marine environment by rivers, winds or from land (UNEP,2009). Litter generally consists of plastics, rubbers, Clothes, metals etc. It is observed in oceans all over the world, even in remote places which are away from human activities. The problems that are caused because of litter are at very high level and are easily ignored in today's world. In today's world marine and coastal environment have major menace from climate change, overfishing, pollution, marine debris, degradation of habitat, explosion of human population, tourism and vast range of human activities that take place in coastal zones (Gray, 1997). Today marine debris are found in marine territory throughout the world. They are observed from shore line and estuaries to ocean surface and ocean floor (Thompson et al.,2009). This marine debris is harmful to marine biota as well as to human health. (Gregory, 2009). Marine debris showed slow decomposition rate which has resulted in sinking of litter (Ryan et al., 2009). Majority of marine litter is composed of plastics, in number and weight according to the assessment of marine litter pollution at different coastal regions (Pham et al., 2014; Kusui and Noda 2003).

Plastic material is perceived disastrous pollutant entering into the marine environment and is a major global threat which causes inimical impact on biodiversity (Derraik, 2002). marine Improper waste management practices in coastal regions have resulted accumulation of large quantities of plastic (Ryan et al.,2009). Multiple sources like dumping areas near coastal region, discharge of municipal sewage, medical waste and most important aspect that is tourism contributes for 70% to 80% of plastic present in marine environment (UNEP, 2005).

Marine litter multiple has drawbacks. They are responsible for degradation of quality and health of ocean, destruction of coastal and marine habitat and detoriation of marine biota. About 6.4 million tons of marine litter is disposed into the sea annually (UNEP,2009). Undisciplined human life style has resulted in dumping of 8.8 million tons of plastic waste in ocean every year, because of this over 700 species of marine organisms are on verge of extinction (Jenna et al., 2015). The present study was conducted to quantify the type as well as amount of litter in Mandvi creek of Vengurla District Sindhudurg which is currently under the threat of domestic waste dumping.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Vengurla is the coastal taluka, located in south part of konkan in middle of Dabhol and Mochemad hills on the bank of Mandvi estuary. (15°. 85' 36. 65" N and 73°. 61' 68. 89" E) This estuary is considered to be polluted with domestic waste. The study was conducted from January 2022 to may 2022 for a period of 5 months. Fiber boat was used for collecting the litter. The study site was sampled once in very month. The sampling was carried out according to the low tide as it made the collection easier. This activity would be carried out for about 2hours. Large fiber buckets were carried in to the boats for collection of litter. The litter was collected into the buckets and brought outside. It was segregated and dividied into different categories according to standard protocol of Lippiatt et al., (2013). The assessment of various categories of litter was done on basis of weight and number of individuals.

Analytical balance was used for weighing the litter. After that the litter is collected by municipality vehicle for further processing.

PLATE.1



Fig1- Mandvi creek in Vengurla



Fig 2-Plastic bags and food wrappers



Fig3- Litter accumulated in



Fig 4-Fibre buckets and sacs containing



Fig5- Plastic and glass bottles

Marine litter observed in Mandvi belt of Vengurla

OBSERVATIONS

Table.1- Marine litter found in Mandvi estuary

S	Тур	Tot	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th
R	e of	al	sa	sa	sa	sa	sa
	litte	qua	mp	mp	mp	mp	mp
				1.	1.	1.	1.
N	r	ntit	lin	lin	lin	lin	lin
N o	r	ntit y	lin g	lin g	ıın g	g	iin g



		ont					
		hs)					
1	Plas	6Sa	2sa	1sa	1sa	1sa	1sa
	tic	cs	cs	c	c	c	c
	was						
	te						
2	The	4Sa	1sa	1sa	1sa	1sa	-
	rmo	cs	c	c	c	c	
	col						
3	Foo	3Sa	-	1sa	-	1sa	1sa
	twe	cs		c		c	c
	ar						
4	Clot	5Sa	1sa	1sa	1sa	1sa	1sa
	hes	cs	С	С	С	c	c
5	Gla	99	13	20	7	36	23
	SS	Nos					
	bott						
	les						
6	Plas	143	43	32	10	33	25
	tic	Nos					
	bott						
	les						
7	Fish	53N	12	10	11	11	9
	ing	os					
	nets						
	debr						
	is						

(Polypropylene cement sacs having capacity of 50kg)

Table. 2-Quantification of marine litter by weight

Sr	No of sampling	Weight of
no		collected litter
1	First sampling	13.8kg
2	Second sampling	12.6kg
3	Third sampling	9.23kg
4	Fourth sampling	14.01kg
5	Fifth sampling	13.95kg
		Total=63.59kg

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To determine the impact of litter on marine environment it is necessary to identify the litter present environment. The present study undertaken to investigate the status of litter in Mandvi creek of Vengurla (Plate1, Fig 1) which is polluted with domestic waste. Marine litter was collected, quantitative analysis was done and litter was classified into seven segregated and categories namely plastic waste, thermocol, footwear, clothes, plastic bottles, glass bottles, fishing net debris. (Table 1) The plastic waste comprised of food wrappers, plastic cups, tooth brushes, Colgate tubes, earbuds etc (Plate 1, Fig2, Fig3, Fig 4, Fig 5). The collected items were recorded in terms of number of items and weight.

It was observed that the residential areas, fishing market, religious temples around the Mandvi estuary are major sources of litter. Waste materials enter into estuary through various channels. The site was sampled once in a month for total 5 months. The collected litter was stored in polypropylene cement sacs having capacity of 50kg. After first sampling 2 sacs of plastic waste,1 sac of thermocol,1 sac of clothes,13 bottles, 43 plastic bottles, 12 fishing net debris were recorded. In Second sampling 1 sac of plastic waste,1 sac of thermocol,1 sac of clothes, 20 glass bottles, 1 sac of footwear ,32 plastic bottles and 10 fishing net debris were recorded. Third sampling resulted in collection of 1 sac of plastic waste, 1 sac of thermocol, 1 sac of clothes, 10 plastic and 7 glass bottles, along with11 fishing net debris.1 sac of plastic

waste, 1sac of thermocol,1 sac of footwear 1sac of clothes,36 glass bottles,33 plastic bottles and 11 net debris were recorded in fourth sampling. The last sampling which took place in May resulted in collection of 1 sac of plastic waste ,1 sac of footwear,1sac of clothes, 23 glass bottles 25 plastic bottles and 9 fishing net debris. The total weight of marine litter recorded during the field sampling was 63.59 kg. The marine litter abundance of first, second, third, fourth, fifth sampling by weight was 13.8kg,12.6kg,9.23kg,14.01kg, 13.95kg respectively (Table 2). The highest abundance of marine litter by weight was observed in fourth field sampling and lowest abundance of marine litter by weight was observed in third field sampling. The observations propose that there was significant difference in number and weight of marine litter during all 5 visits.

The collected and segregated litter was taken up by municipality vehicle, for further processing where the plastic waste is crushed using plastic crusher machine and crushed plastic is used for road development. Glass and other materials are disposed of by selling to the vendors.

Similar study was done on quantitative analysis of marine litter on Juhu beach which reported highest quantity of macroplastic (Bentotage et al.,2013). Similar studies on assessment of marine litter were conducted (Ganesapandian et al., 2011; Sulochanan et al., 2014) along the beaches of India which showed high occurrence of plastic and marine debris in low tide. Singare (2012)

reported that plastic materials such as carry bags, milk packets, oil packets, plastic bottles are major waste causing pollution along Thane and Vasai creek in Mumbai. Kaladharan et al., (2017) conducted studies on prevalence of litter along the beaches of India and graded the beaches according to their cleanliness. His results concluded that plastic made up the major components of marine litter. Plastic being floating materials will remain dispersed in water columns and settle at bottoms which will remain in marine sediments for several years (Hansen, 1990; Goldberg, 1995; 1997). Rochman et al., (2013) studied the transport of chemical to marine organisms through plastic ingestion. Sridhar et al., (2009) conducted studies on marine litter along the beaches of Karnataka.

CONCLUSION

Mandvi estuary is flourished with various species of mangroves and has good faunal diversity. This estuary is under threat due to excessive disposal of domestic waste. The excessive disposal of domestic waste is due to improper management and lack of implementation of rules and regulations. The present study gives idea about the type of litter that is disposed of in estuary and monitor the level of pollution. Further studies should conducted which will understand the status of pollution, and implement rules for its control.

REFRENCES

1) Bentotage, J., Purushothaman, C.S. and Alagarsamy, V. (2013). Quantitative analysis of plastic debris on recreational



- beaches in Mumbai, India. Marine pollution Bulletin, vol.77(6): 1-2.
- 2) **Derraik, J. G. B.** (2002). The pollution of the marine environment by plastic debris: a review. Mar. Pollut. Bul, vol. 44(9): 842-852.
- 3) Ganesapandian, S., Manikandan, S. and Kumaraguru, A. K. (2011). Marine Litter in the Northern Part of Gulf of Mannar, Southeast Coast of India. Int. Res. J. Environ. Sci., vol. 5(5): 471-478.
- 4) Goldberg, E. D. (1995). Emerging problems for the coastal zone in the 21st century. Mar.Pollut. Bull, vol.31 (4-12):152-158.
- 5) Goldberg, E.D. (1997). Plasticizing the seafloor: an overview. Environmental Technology, vol.18:195-202.
- 6) Grey, J. (1997). Marine biodiversity: patterns, threats and conservation need. Biodiversity and conservation, vol.6:153-157.
- 7) Gregory, M. (2009). Environmental implications of plastic debris in marine settings—entanglement, ingestion, smothering, hangers-on, hitch-hiking and alien invasions. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, vol.364: 2013-2026.
- 8) Hansen, J. (1990). Draft position statement on plastic debris in marine environments. Fisheries, vol.15:16-17.
- 9) Jenna R. J., R. Geyer, C. Wilcox, T. R. Siegler, M. Perryman, A. Andrady, R. Narayan and K. L. Law. (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. Science, vol.347(6223):768-771.
- 10) Kaladharan, P., Vijayakumaran, K., Singh, V.V., Prema, D., P. S. Asha., Sulochanan, B., Hemasankari, P., Edward, L.L., Padua, S., Veena, S., Anasukoya, A. and Bhint, H.M. (2017). Prevalence of marine litter along the beaches of India: A preliminary account on its status and composition. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. India, vol. 59 (1).
- 11) **Kusui, T. and Noda, M.** (2003). International survey on the distribution of stranded and buried litter on beaches

- along the Sea of Japan. Marine Pollution Bulletin, vol.47(1-6):175-179.
- 12) Lippiatt, S., Opfer, S. and Arthur, C. (2013). Marine Debris Monitoring and Assessment. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS-OR&R-46, 88.
- 13) *Pham, C. K., Ramirez-Llodra, E., Alt, C. H. S., Amaro, T., Bergmann, M., Canals, M., Compan,y J. B., Davies, J., Duineveld, G., Galgani, F., Howell, K. L., Huvenne, V. A., Isidro, E., Jones, D. O., Lastras, G., Morato, T., Gomes-Pereira, J. N., Purser, A., Stewart, H., Tojeira, I., Tubau, X., Van Rooij, D. and Tyler, P. A. (2014). Marine litter distribution and density in European Seas, from the shelves to deep basins. PLoS ONE, vol.9(4): 13.
- 14) Rochman, C. M., Hot, E., Kurobe, T. and The, S.J. (2013). Ingested plastic transfers hazardous chemicals to fish and induces hepatic stress. Scientific Reports 3. Articlenumber:3263.
- 15) Ryan, P. G., Moore, C. J., van Franeker, J. A. and Moloney, C. L. (2009). Monitoring the abundance of plastic debris in the marine environment. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society B, vol. 364: 1999-2012.
- 16) Singare, P.U. (2012). Study of some major non-biodegradable solid wastes along Thane Creek of Mumbai. World Environment, vol. 2(3): 24-30.
- 17) Sridhar, K. R., Deviprasad, B., Karamchand, K.S. and Bhat, R. (2009). Plastic litter along the beaches of Karnataka, southwest coast of India. Asian J. Water Environ. Pollut, vol.6: 87-93.
- 18) Sulochanan, B., Bhat, G.S., Lavannya, S., Dineshbabu, A.P. and Kaladharan, P. (2014). A preliminary assessment of ecosystem process and marine litter in beaches of Mangalore. Indian journal of Geo-Marine science, vol .43(9).
- 19) Thompson, R., Moore, C., vom Saal, F. and Swan, S. (2009). Plastics, the environment and human health: current consensus and future trends.



- Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, vol.364:2153-2166.
- 20) UNEP Regional Seas Programme, (2005). Marine Litter and Abandoned Fishing Gear. Report to the Division of Ocean Affairs and the Law of the Sea, Office of Legal Affairs, UNHQ, by Regional Seas Coordinating Office, UNEP, Nairobi, Kenya, 30.
- 21) **UNEP**, **(2009)**. Marine litter: A global challenge. Nairobi, Kenya: United Nations

Environment Programme, 232.