

ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL LAW IN RESOLVING TERRITORIAL DISPUTES

Dr. Mohammad Zakirullah

Associate Professor & Principal
Department of Political Science
SR & BGNR Arts & Science College (Autonomous),
Khammam, Telangana
drzakirullah@yahoo.com

Abstract

International Law has established a hierarchy of resources it will use to settle territorial disputes in its jurisprudence: It places a boundary treaty between the state litigants first, followed by agreements between the states' colonial predecessors and, finally, the actions of the state litigants that show they have authority over the disputed territory. There is reason to believe that the aspirations of local populations ought to be taken into account in international law, given the place self-determination currently occupies in international law and the potential consequences these populations may face in certain territorial disputes. The reasons why state litigants, on the one hand, and international law, on the other, do not raise the issue of self-determination are first examined in this article. It makes the observation that states only rhetorically discuss self-determination when it serves to further their own interests. As a result, it tries to reconcile territorial disputes and self-determination, suggesting how the Court might take into account people's desires.

Introduction

A disagreement between two or more states regarding which state has sovereignty over a particular portion of its territory is known as a territorial dispute. Regional debates fall inside two unique classifications. A challenge to a state's existence arises when certain territorial disputes affect the entire state's territory. Other territorial disputes involve the placement of boundaries that define the territory over which each state exercises sovereignty and only affect a portion of that territory. Global regulation sets out the principles administering the control and move of an area.

Diplomatic, financial, and military tensions frequently arise between two or more states as a result of territorial disputes. Political instability and uncertainty regarding which state controls and exercises authority over the disputed territory are frequently brought about by territorial disputes. In the past, territorial disputes have frequently resulted in armed conflicts. However, numerous territorial disputes have been amicably resolved through negotiation or a dispute settlement method. The first approach involves signing a boundary treaty that specifies how the states agree to divide their territories. The latter frequently involves a binding decision by a body that settles disputes, such as an arbitral tribunal or the International Court of Justice, regarding how to divide the disputed territory among the disputing States.

There are numerous ways that territorial disputes can arise. A State's attempt to seize territory from another State, for instance, can set off a territorial dispute. An entity seeking independence from a State is another example. For instance, it could argue that it is a new independent state



exercising sovereignty over the territory in which its population resides by citing the right of its population to self-determination. A conflict between two or more states over ownership or sovereignty over a particular portion of territory is known as a territorial dispute. It can emerge for some reasons, like financial interests, international goals, provincial ethnic or social fluctuation, and verifiable heritages that are mostly because of expansionism or dominion. The disagreements can take many forms, including disagreements regarding land boundaries or natural resources like oil, water, gas, and so forth. There can likewise be disagreements regarding islands or oceanic zones. Policymakers, diplomats, and citizens alike need to have a thorough understanding of territorial disputes because resolving them necessitates a great deal of legal expertise, political will, and cooperation from both sides. This article will go into detail about the historical context, international law principles, international legal framework, dispute resolution mechanisms, and case studies of territorial disputes. Among the best accomplishments of the Unified Countries is the improvement of a group of worldwide regulation, which is fundamental to advancing financial and social turn of events, as well as to propelling global harmony and security. Conventions, treaties, and standards constitute international law. A large number of the deals achieved by the Unified Countries structure the premise of the law that oversees relations among countries. Even though the UN's work in this area doesn't always get much attention, it has a daily effect on people's lives everywhere.

Article 33 of the United Nations Charter specifically asks the organization to assist in the peaceful resolution of international disputes through means such as judicial settlement and arbitration, as well as to encourage the gradual development of international law and its codification (Article 13).

More than 560 multilateral treaties have been deposited with the UN Secretary-General over the course of time. Numerous additional treaties are held in trust by governments or other organizations. The treaties cover a wide range of topics, including environmental protection, human rights, and disarmament.

The General Assembly as a forum for adopting multilateral treaties

The primary deliberative body on matters pertaining to international law is the General Assembly, which is comprised of representatives from each UN Member State. In fact, the General Assembly approves a lot of multilateral treaties before they can be signed and ratified. By offering guidance on important legal issues, the Legal (Sixth) Committee contributes to the General Assembly's work. Additionally, there are representatives from all UN Member States on the Committee. The General Assembly has adopted several multilateral treaties throughout its history, including:

- Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (1948)
- International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (1965)
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966)
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966)
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (1979)



- United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (1982)
- Convention on the Rights of the Child (1989)
- Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty (1996)
- International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism (1999)
- International Convention for the Suppression of Acts of Nuclear Terrorism (2005)
- Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (2006)
- United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Carriage of Goods Wholly or Partly by Sea (2008)
- Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (2008)

The United Nations' legal work has been groundbreaking in many areas, addressing issues as they take on an international dimension. The United Nations has been at the forefront of efforts to establish a legal framework in the fight against terrorism, drug trafficking, protecting the environment, and regulating migrant labor. This work continues today as international law takes on a more central role in a wider range of issues, such as international humanitarian law and human rights law.

To urge Part States to sign shows or store restricting instruments concerning these deals, the Unified Countries has yearly, as well as unique Settlement Occasions. Promoting universal participation in multilateral environmental agreements for a healthier planet was the primary focus of the Treaty Event.

By providing a framework and principles for addressing territorial disputes between states, international law plays a crucial role in their resolution. When two or more nations have competing claims to a specific piece of land, maritime area, or airspace, territorial disputes occur.

By providing a framework and principles for addressing territorial disputes between states, international law plays a crucial role in their resolution. When two or more nations have competing claims to a specific piece of land, maritime area, or airspace, territorial disputes occur. If they are not handled well, these disagreements can result in tensions, conflicts, and even wars. In a number of ways, international law aids in dispute resolution:

Legal Framework: States are able to peacefully negotiate and settle territorial disputes within the framework of international law. For instance, the United Nations Charter emphasizes the significance of peaceful means of conflict resolution and outlaws the use of force in conflict resolution.

Treaties and Agreements: States frequently use arrangements and arrangements to characterize and delimit their boundaries. The territorial boundaries and rights of each party are outlined in these legally binding bilateral or multilateral treaties.

Arbitration and Mediation: Third parties are permitted to intervene in territorial disputes under international law. States have the option of submitting their dispute to impartial organizations like the International Court of Justice (ICJ) or other regional organizations for



arbitration or mediation. By offering legal interpretations and recommendations, these bodies contribute to the process of dispute resolution.

Customary International Law: The resolution of territorial disputes can be influenced by customary international law, which is established by state practice and recognized as legally binding. For instance, when former colonies gain independence, the principle of uti possidetis, which translates to "as you possess," has been utilized to maintain colonial borders.

International Courts and Tribunals: International courts and tribunals, such as the ICJ and the Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA), are important mechanisms for settling territorial disputes. They provide a forum for states to present their arguments, and their decisions are legally binding on the parties involved.

Peaceful Negotiation: Global regulation urges states to take part in serene exchanges and strategy to determine regional questions. The standards of entirely honest intentions, sensibility, and common assent are many times accentuated in such dealings. Two-sided or multilateral dealings frequently occur with the contribution of outsider go betweens or facilitators. Equity, fairness, and reverence for historical documents and treaties serve as the guiding principles for these negotiations.

Respect for Territorial Integrity: The principle of respecting the territorial integrity of states is a fundamental aspect of international law. States are generally expected to refrain from using force to acquire territory or change borders unilaterally.

Establishment of Legal Norms: State behavior is governed by legal norms established by international law, particularly the principles outlined in the United Nations Charter and various treaties and conventions. The basis on which states' territorial claims and actions are evaluated is provided by these norms.

Peaceful Dispute Resolution: The peaceful resolution of disputes is emphasized in international law. The Unified Countries Sanction, for example, commands that states ought to resolve their global questions by quiet means and keep away from the danger or utilization of power. Armed conflict as a means of resolving territorial disputes is discouraged by this..

Use of Precedent: Previous decisions by international tribunals and courts can serve as precedents in resolving similar territorial disputes. This helps ensure consistency and predictability in international law, making it easier for states to anticipate outcomes.

Conflict Prevention: International law also contributes to the prevention of territorial disputes by promoting the establishment of clear and agreed-upon boundaries, demilitarized zones, and confidence-building measures between neighboring states.

International Community Pressure: Diplomatic and economic pressure from the international community frequently complement international law. Infractions of international law or refusal to participate in peaceful dispute resolution may result in sanctions for states.

Despite the fact that international law provides a framework for resolving territorial disputes, it does not guarantee a quick or simple resolution. Additionally, compliance with international



legal decisions is not always guaranteed, and enforcement mechanisms can be restricted. Despite this, international law continues to play an important role in preventing armed conflicts over borders and promoting peaceful and just solutions to territorial disputes.

It is also essential to keep in mind that the willingness of the parties to adhere to legal norms and engage in peaceful process can have an impact on how effective international law is at resolving territorial disputes. Global regulation gives a significant system, accomplishing a goal frequently requires political will, split the difference, and collaboration among the questioning states and the worldwide local area.

Historical context

Because these issues have existed for numerous centuries or even millennia, understanding the historical context of the territorial disputes is also an essential component in their resolution. European powers divided up the territories of Africa, Asia, and the Americas, largely disregarding ethnic, tribal, or cultural boundaries, during the period of colonialism and imperialism that lasted from the 16th century to the 20th century. This led to a significant shift in the boundaries and control of territory. As the process of decolonization began in the 20th century, newly independent states with arbitrary borders that were frequently drawn by colonial powers emerged. The impact continues to this day. Numerous conflicts have arisen in the Middle East, South Asia, and Africa as a result of the rapid decolonization process and the establishment of artificial borders.

Principles of international law

States' interactions are governed by international law principles as their foundation. One of the most important principles of international law is sovereignty, which states that states have the right to govern without interference from outsiders. The people hold the sovereign power, which is exercised by their elected representatives.

A principle under which sovereign states can exercise their right to defend their territory from another state is territorial integrity. that is, they can't use force to force other sovereign states to change their borders. Together, they are in line with Article VIII, which promotes self-determination and equal rights.

States are prohibited from interfering in the affairs of other states because of the non-intervention principle. There is constant debate regarding when to determine whether interference in the internal affairs of another nation will result in a violation of international law, despite the fact that intervention is possible in some instances, such as during humanitarian crises or with the permission of the United Nations Security Council.

Pacta sunt servanda states that parties who sign a treaty are obligated to carry it out in good faith. It discusses the significance of adhering to the commitments made in the treaties and conventions.

Equality of states means that all states are equal under international law, irrespective of their size, population, or financial power. Protecting and promoting human rights is one of the



fundamental principles of international law. The states are expected to opt to protect and respect the human rights of their citizens within their territory.

International legal framework

The relationships between sovereign states are governed by a system of rules, regulations, treaties, and principles known as an international legal framework. Treaties and agreements, formal documents mediated and ratified by sovereign states and governed by international law, are a few key components of the international legal framework. International conventions, treaties, final acts, charters, pacts, and memorandums of understanding (MOUs) are all names for treaties. Many issues are incorporated while outlining the legitimate system, including exchange, climate, basic freedoms, demilitarization, and regional questions. Arrangements can be reciprocal, which is between two sovereign states or multilateral, which are between different states. The term "customary international law" refers to legal norms and principles that are consistently implemented by states over time. Article 38 of the resolution of the Global Courtroom discusses standard worldwide regulation, it states it as proof of general practice acknowledged by regulation. In the court, it is mostly used as a secondary source of law; Consequently, it necessitates both opino juris and state implementation. Consequently for a standard to turn into a standard worldwide regulation, the sovereign states should follow it reliably, either expressly or verifiably, to show they have agreed to it. By providing a panel for state-to-state discussions, international organizations play a significant role in establishing the international legal framework. Instances of global associations are the Worldwide Courtroom (ICJ), the Assembled Countries (UN), and the World Exchange Association (WTO). Additionally, there are international courts and tribunals that serve as a venue for resolving disputes between the disputing nations. The World Court, also known as the International Court of Justice (ICJ), has the authority to settle disputes between sovereign states. In general, a worldwide lawful system exists for the guideline of states, for giving a gathering to resolve debates between the states, and furthermore to advance collaboration and harmony with the states.

Dispute resolution mechanisms

A few instruments exist inside the global legitimate structure. Adjudication is one common method for resolving territorial disputes. It involves presenting the issue to an international court or tribunal, which will then issue a decision that is legally binding. The International Court of Justice (ICJ) and regional courts like the European Court of Justice, which will decide territorial disputes within their respective jurisdictions, are two examples of these.

Mediation is likewise another proper cycle where the two players who are questioning need to present the subtleties of their debate to, a be the outsider referee for the discretion interaction. The arbitration panel's discussion will be binding on both parties. Arbitration is typically preferred because it provides a more methodical and legalistic approach to resolving disputes. Organizations like the United Nations (UN) and regional bodies like the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) have both played a strategic role in resolving territorial disputes. International organizations also serve as a dispute resolution mechanism. They mostly



serve as a diplomatic pillar and a place to talk about or plan peacekeeping missions to settle territorial disputes and bring stability to the region.

Unofficial, informal, and non-governmental talks between private citizens, such as experts, academics, or groups of state actors, for the purpose of brainstorming solutions, assisting the official actors to resolve the dispute, and establishing trust between the parties outside of the formal diplomatic medium make up Track II diplomacy. Territorial disputes can also be settled through established mechanisms like bilateral and multilateral treaties. Joint development agreements, mutual recognition agreements, and demarcation treaties are all examples of these, which are used to address specific aspects of territorial disputes.

Case studies

There are more than 150 ongoing territorial disputes in the world and complex legal, historical, and political factors play a role in resolving them.

A few examples of them are:

Sovereignty over Certain Frontier Land– Belgium and the Netherlands questioned over areas that crossed their line, guaranteeing it in light of deals and successful control. The Court gave the territories to Belgium, refering to that they depended on a limit settlement and a commission's work under it. It also said that the Netherlands didn't hurt Belgium's sovereignty because it only had a small amount of control over the enclave. This was because the actions that were questioned were local and happened without Belgium knowing about them or doing anything about them.

Temple of Preach Vihear (Cambodia/Thailand)— Even though the temple is in a specific location where it can only be accessed through Thai territory, Cambodia wanted to claim ownership of an ancient temple that was on Thailand's side of the border as stipulated by a treaty with France during Cambodia's colonization. The boundary outline under the arrangement was finished by French authorities with the endorsement of Thailand.

Land, Island, and Maritime Frontier Dispute (El Salvador/Honduras, Nicaragua Intervening)- Due to their General Treaty of Peace from 1980, El Salvador and Honduras brought this case to the International Court of Justice. Nicaragua mediated the case, but only dealt with maritime issues.

Maritime Delimitation and Territorial Questions (*Qatar v. Bahrain*)— Concerning a dispute over land on the Qatar Peninsula and two additional sets of islands, Qatar filed a case against Bahrain. As long-standing settlements were dissolving Bahrain's claims of control as acts of piracy, the court declared that the entire peninsula belonged to Qatar. Due to the uti possidetis principle, one set of the islands was given to Qatar and the other to Bahrain, and claims based on other principles were rejected.

Conclusion

In order to safeguard and promote peace and stability on a global scale, it is crucial to investigate the obstacles to resolving territorial disputes and the perspectives for the future.



Historical Grievances: Many territorial disputes are firmly established in historical grievances, making it difficult to resolve them due to emotional attachments to the disputed territories. This presents a few key challenges when resolving territorial disputes. Different elements incorporate international rivalry, which includes worldwide powers going for the gold command over domains that are wealthy in assets and key. There is likewise an absence of trust, which is common doubt among the gatherings with regional questions, which will hamper the course of discussion and participation. There should be more Political Commitment where more endeavors and significant discoursed are traded; This is necessary for resolving territorial disputes and fosters trust., Numerous proactive measures ought to likewise be taken to stay away from future contentions and a legitimate global intervention discussion ought to be set up to work with tranquil goal there additionally should be lawful clearness, i.e., that lawful structures ought to be explained and ambiguities in the deals ought to be settled. The international community will be able to work toward promoting peace and stability in the global world by examining these challenges and future perspectives.

References

- 1. Neuman, W. L. (2014). Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches (7th Edition). Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.
- 2. Odiong, O. A. (2008). Bakassi Peninsula: Growth, Conflict and Evolution. Calabar: Odiong & Sons.
- 3. Oduntan, G. (2015). International Law and Boundary Disputes in Africa. London: Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203776841
- 4. Shaw, M. N. (1997). International Law (4th Ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- 5. Viñuales, J. E., & Bentolila, D. (2013). The Use of Alternative (Non-Judicial) Means to Enforce Investment Awards against States. In Diplomatic and Judicial Means of Dispute Settlement (pp. 247–277). Leiden: Brill. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004209985 014
- 6. https://www.routledge.com/Territorial-Disputes-and-State-Sovereignty-International-Law-and-Politics/Nunez/p/book/9780367515294
- 7. https://blog.ipleaders.in/role-of-international-law-in-resolving-territorial-disputes/