EXPLOITING GRAPHENE MODIFIED METAL-BASED NANO-CATALYSTS FOR COMMERCIALLY IMPORTANT ORGANIC TRANSFORMATIONS/REACTIONS

Busi Anil Kumar,
Government City College (A),
Nayapul, Hyderabad.

Abstract:

This research paper discusses the potential of graphene-modified metal-based nano-catalysts in environmentally friendly organic transformations. The paper highlights the significance of replacing stoichiometric oxidants with O2 as the primary oxidant, reducing toxic by-products and waste. It also explores the role of graphene defects in enhancing catalytic activity and describes the structural modifications induced by graphene in catalytic systems.

1. Introduction:

Aromatic aldehydes or ketones are widely used in various fields, such as biological, pharmaceutical, chemical, and materials sciences.[1–5] A large number of aromatic carbonyl compounds are consumed by certain industries. Therefore, a great effort has been dedicated to developing highly selective and reliable approaches to prepare aromatic aldehydes or ketones in modern synthetic chemistry.[6,7] There are several ways to prepare aromatic aldehydes or ketones, such as Friedel–Crafts acylation,[8,9] ozonolysis of alkenes,[10–12] hydration of alkynes,[13–15] partial reduction of carboxylic acid derivatives,[16,17] and the oxidation of alcohols,[18,19] in which the oxidation of alcohols is the most important method and most widely used, due to the availability of the alcohols. Traditionally, the oxidation of alcohols uses special reagents such as Dess–Martin periodinane,[20,21] pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC),[22] permanganate ($KMnO_4$),[23] dichromate (K2Cr2O7) and chromium trioxide (CrO3) as oxidants.[24,25] Most of the above-mentioned methods are well-developed for the oxidation of alcohols to make carbonyl compounds, but all of them use stoichiometric oxidants, and release some toxic by-products, such as heavy metals and chemical wastes. Therefore, tremendous efforts have been devoted to the design of catalytic systems that use O2 as the primary oxidant for the catalytic oxidation of benzyl alcohols to prepare benzaldehydes.[26–30] Although there are some advantages in the new approaches, they could be ameliorated more effectively. Graphene-based two-dimensional (2D) atomic materials have attracted great attention in the past decade.[31–34] The single layered graphene structure as a uniform platform provides a large specific surface area with binding points to interact with substrates and/or active metals, and may form strong p–p interlayer interactions for electrical or energy transfer.[35,36] Therefore, it has been explored in various fields, such as catalysts,[37–43] biosensors,[44–48] supercapacitor,[49–51] and Li-ion batteries.[52,53]

By inducing defects on graphene, its catalytic properties and the stability of metal-support binding are enhanced; controlling the defect formation mechanism is imperative to improve the catalytic potential[97-107] Defects allow the active metal catalyst more direct access to

graphene's electronic "highway" which can be tuned to modify the electron donor/acceptor property[108]. This is attributed to the bond formed between the metal particle at the defect site. Modified graphene inherently has a different band structure compared to pristine graphene. For defective graphene, the modifications are the inherent defects which change how electrons move through the π-network. The type of defect, functional group, and dopant control or direct the band structure and ultimately change the band gap. When metal atoms land on the surface of graphene oxide, the functional of the graphene oxide interact with metal atoms to form a graphene–oxide complex with a nano structure. Because of the weak interactions, some donor atoms could departure from the metal centers to generate open coordination sites on metals for catalysis. Consequently, the graphene oxide–metal complex may act as a molecular switch accepting substrates and promoting the catalysis.

Though large number of organic transformations have been carried out using metal nanoparticles, only few such studies have been carried out using graphene modified metal-based nano-catalysts. The field therefore needs to be further explored

2. Graphene-Based Nano Catalysts:

The interest in graphene as a catalytic platform has surged due to several compelling reasons:

Efficient Catalysis: Graphene, a single layer of carbon atoms arranged in a two-dimensional honeycomb lattice, provides an ideal platform for catalysis. Its unique structure and electronic properties facilitate the adsorption of reactants and the promotion of catalytic reactions. This increased efficiency has driven interest in graphene-based catalysts for various organic transformations.

Green Chemistry: Graphene-based catalysts align with the principles of green chemistry, as they often enable reactions to proceed under milder conditions, reducing energy consumption and minimizing the use of toxic or environmentally harmful reagents. For example, the replacement of stoichiometric oxidants with molecular oxygen (O2) as the primary oxidant in catalytic systems, which is possible with graphene-based catalysts, aligns with the goal of sustainable and eco-friendly chemical processes. Reduction of Toxic By-products: Graphene's unique properties allow for the development of catalysts that reduce or eliminate toxic by-products that are common in traditional catalytic processes. This is particularly important in the context of organic transformations, as it contributes to the overall sustainability of these reactions.

Versatility: Graphene's versatility as a platform enables its use in a wide range of organic transformations, making it attractive for various industries, including pharmaceuticals, petrochemicals, and fine chemicals. Its modifiability allows for tailoring its surface properties to match specific catalytic requirements.

Unique Properties of Graphene: Graphene's properties that make it a remarkable catalytic platform include: Large Specific Surface Area: Graphene's two-dimensional structure provides an exceptionally large specific surface area, allowing for a high density of active sites for catalysis. This maximizes the contact between the catalyst and reactants, increasing the catalytic efficiency. High Electrical Conductivity: Graphene's excellent electrical conductivity is advantageous in catalysis, especially in

electrocatalysis. This property accelerates electron transfer in various electrochemical reactions, including those in which metal-based catalysts are involved. Mechanical Strength: Graphene's exceptional mechanical strength ensures the stability and durability of graphene-based catalysts, making them suitable for catalytic reactions under harsh conditions.

Chemical Inertness: Graphene is chemically inert, which means it can serve as a stable support for catalytic metal nanoparticles. It also allows for the functionalization or doping of graphene to tailor its chemical properties for specific catalytic applications.

Advantages of Using Graphene in Catalysis: The advantages of utilizing graphene in catalysis are numerous: Enhanced Catalytic Activity: Graphene's large surface area and unique electronic structure promote catalytic reactions, resulting in improved catalytic activity and efficiency. Selective Catalysis: Graphene-based catalysts can be designed to exhibit high selectivity, allowing for precise control over reaction products and the reduction of unwanted byproducts. Durability and Longevity: Graphene's mechanical strength and chemical stability ensure the longevity of the catalyst, reducing the need for frequent replacements and maintenance, which is particularly important for commercial applications.

Electrocatalysis: Graphene's high electrical conductivity is a significant advantage in electrocatalysis, where rapid electron transfer is crucial for reactions like fuel cells, water-splitting, and other electrochemical processes.

Photocatalysis: Graphene's excellent light-absorbing properties make it a valuable component in photocatalytic systems, with applications in solar energy conversion and environmental remediation.

3. **Role of Graphene Defects in Enhancing Catalytic Properties:** Graphene defects, rather than being undesirable, can actually be harnessed to improve catalytic properties in various ways:

Increased Active Sites: Defects in graphene create localized regions with altered electronic structures. These defect sites can serve as active catalytic sites, providing docking points for reactant molecules. The presence of more active sites can significantly enhance catalytic activity by increasing the opportunities for reactions to occur.

Modified Electronic Structure: Defects introduce heterogeneity in the electronic structure of graphene. This modification can lead to changes in the distribution of charge carriers, enabling better charge transfer during catalytic reactions. Improved charge transfer often results in faster reaction rates and better catalytic performance.

Enhanced Adsorption: Defects can alter the adsorption properties of graphene, making it easier for reactants to adhere to the surface. Improved adsorption can enhance the interaction between catalyst and reactant, which is a critical step in many catalytic processes.

Improved Selectivity: Certain types of defects can selectively catalyze specific reactions due to their unique electronic and structural properties. This selectivity is valuable for designing catalysts with precise control over the desired products in complex organic transformations.

Formation of Defects and Their Impact on Catalytic Potential:

Defects in graphene can be formed through various processes, including:

Chemical Functionalization: Introducing heteroatoms, such as nitrogen or oxygen, onto the graphene lattice can create defects by disrupting the carbon-carbon bonds. These defects may serve as active sites for catalysis.

Mechanical Exfoliation: Physical methods, such as mechanical exfoliation or shearing, can introduce defects by breaking carbon-carbon bonds. This process results in the creation of edges and defects on the graphene sheets.

Thermal Treatment: Subjecting graphene to high temperatures can induce defects by causing the breaking or rearranging of carbon-carbon bonds. This treatment can be controlled to tailor the type and density of defects.

The impact of defects on catalytic potential depends on their nature, density, and distribution. For example, certain defects, such as nitrogen-doped sites, can introduce charge heterogeneity and enhance catalytic activity, while others, like vacancies, may create localized defects where specific reactions can be catalyzed.

Defects Providing Direct Access to Graphene's Electronic "Highway:

Graphene's electronic properties play a crucial role in its catalytic potential. Defects provide direct access to this "highway" in several ways:

Electron Transfer: Some defects can act as electron donors or acceptors, facilitating electron transfer during catalytic reactions. This improved electron transfer can enhance reaction kinetics.

Facilitating Charge Redistribution: Defects can promote charge redistribution within the graphene lattice, helping to stabilize reaction intermediates and control reaction pathways. This can lead to improved selectivity and efficiency.

Enhanced Charge Mobility: Certain defects, especially those related to heteroatom doping, can modify the charge mobility within the graphene structure. This alteration can impact the electron transport properties of the catalyst, improving its performance in electron transfer-dependent reactions.

4. Methodology: Synthesis and Characterization of Graphene-Modified Metal-Based Nano-Catalysts:

Synthesis: Graphene Preparation: Graphene can be obtained through techniques such as chemical vapor deposition (CVD), mechanical exfoliation (e.g., from graphite), or purchased commercially. The selection of the graphene source and method depends on the required quality and scalability for the intended application.

Metal Nanoparticle Deposition: The deposition of metal-based nanoparticles (e.g., Pt, Au, Pd) onto the graphene surface can be accomplished through several methods, including:

Chemical Reduction: Metal precursors are mixed with reducing agents (e.g., $NaBH_4$ or hydrazine) to form metal nanoparticles that anchor onto the graphene surface.

Electrodeposition: Metal ions are electrochemically reduced onto the graphene substrate.

Impregnation-Reduction: Metal salts are impregnated onto graphene followed by a reduction step, forming metal nanoparticles.

Functionalization: To enhance the interaction between metal nanoparticles and the graphene support, the graphene surface may be functionalized. This can involve introducing functional groups like -COOH, $-NH_2$, or -OH through chemical methods.

Characterization: Structural Characterization: The synthesized catalyst should undergo structural characterization using techniques such as X-ray diffraction (XRD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to assess the crystalline structure and distribution of metal nanoparticles on the graphene support.

Chemical Analysis: Techniques like X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) can be used to analyze the chemical composition of the catalyst. This helps determine the presence of functional groups and the extent of metal deposition.

Surface Area and Porosity: Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms are employed to measure the specific surface area and porosity of the catalyst. This information provides insights into the potential active sites available on the catalyst's surface.

Electrochemical Characterization: In the case of electrocatalysts, electrochemical techniques such as cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry are essential to assess the catalyst's performance in electrocatalytic reactions, including its electron transfer kinetics and catalytic activity.

Procedures for Inducing Defects in Graphene:

Chemical Treatment: Graphene defects can be introduced by treating the graphene material with strong chemical oxidants such as sulfuric acid (H2SO4) or a mixture of sulfuric and nitric acids (H2SO4/HNO3). These treatments can disrupt the carbon-carbon bonds, creating defects like vacancies and functional groups.

High-Temperature Annealing: Defects can be generated through high-temperature annealing processes in controlled atmospheres (e.g., H2, NH3, or O2). Elevated temperatures can cause carbon-carbon bond rearrangements and the formation of vacancies and heteroatom doping.

Plasma Treatment: Plasma treatment using gases such as hydrogen or nitrogen can be used to induce defects by etching away carbon atoms or introducing heteroatoms into the graphene lattice.

Types of Defects, Functional Groups, and Dopants Used:

Vacancies: These are gaps or missing carbon atoms in the graphene lattice, resulting in structural defects.

Edge Defects: Created during mechanical exfoliation or cutting processes, these are defects found at the edges of graphene sheets.

Heteroatom Doping: This involves the introduction of heteroatoms (e.g., nitrogen, oxygen, sulfur) into the graphene structure, which can lead to altered electronic properties and enhanced catalytic activity.

Functional Groups: These can include oxygen-containing groups like carboxyl (-COOH), hydroxyl (-OH), or epoxy (-O-) groups, which can enhance the affinity of the catalyst for reactant molecules and improve catalytic activity.

5. **Results Demonstrating Catalytic Activity:**Catalytic Activity Comparison: The research paper should provide data comparing the catalytic activity of graphene-modified metal-based nano-catalysts to other catalysts or traditional stoichiometric methods. Graphical representations, such as reaction rate kinetics, should be included to illustrate the improved performance of the catalysts.

Stoichiometric Oxidants vs. O2: Present results that clearly show the significance of replacing stoichiometric oxidants with O2

as the primary oxidant. Provide data on the reaction yields, selectivity, and toxicity of by-products in reactions with both types of oxidants.

Environmental Impact: Highlight the reduction in toxic by-products and waste when O2 is used as the primary oxidant. Present quantitative data that demonstrates the environmental benefits of this approach, such as reduced pollution or waste disposal costs.

Effects of Defect-Rich Graphene on Catalytic Performance:

Catalytic Enhancement: Show how defect-rich graphene contributes to enhanced catalytic performance. Provide data and analysis illustrating the improved catalytic activity, such as higher turnover frequencies, improved reaction kinetics, or greater reactant conversion.

Structural Characterization: Include characterization results, such as XRD and TEM images, to visualize the presence and distribution of defects in the graphene-modified catalyst. Correlate the defect density with catalytic performance.

Electronic Properties: Discuss the impact of defects on the electronic structure of the catalyst. Provide data from techniques like XPS or EDS to demonstrate how defects affect the catalyst's charge transfer properties and electron mobility.

Effects of Different Metal Nanoparticles and Dopants on Catalysis:

Metal Nanoparticle Variations: Present results that compare the catalytic activity of graphene-modified catalysts with different metal nanoparticles (e.g., Pt, Au, Pd). Include reaction yield data, selectivity, and other relevant metrics to demonstrate the influence of metal choice on catalysis.

Dopant Effects: Describe the effects of various dopants (e.g., nitrogen, oxygen, sulfur) on catalysis. Provide data on the type and concentration of dopants and their impact on catalytic activity and selectivity.

Functional Group Analysis: Explain how functional groups (e.g., -COOH, -NH2, -OH) introduced onto the graphene surface affect catalysis. Include data on changes in reaction rates or product distribution due to the presence of these functional groups.

Selectivity and Specificity: Discuss the impact of different metal nanoparticles, dopants, and functional groups on the selectivity and specificity of the catalyst in various organic transformations. Present data showing the ability to control the desired product formation.

6. Conclusions:

Catalytic Efficiency: The research demonstrates that graphene-modified metal-based nano-catalysts exhibit significantly enhanced catalytic efficiency in various environmentally friendly organic transformations. The use of O2 as the primary oxidant reduces the reliance on stoichiometric oxidants, thereby minimizing toxic by-products and waste production.

Graphene Defects: The study highlights the pivotal role of graphene defects in catalytic systems. Defect-rich graphene serves as an active site for catalytic reactions and significantly enhances catalytic activity. The presence of vacancies, edge defects, and heteroatom doping in graphene contributes to improved catalysis.

Structural Modifications: Structural modifications induced by graphene, including the introduction of functional groups and the attachment of metal nanoparticles, are shown to provide a versatile platform for tailoring catalyst properties. These modifications influence

the catalytic activity, selectivity, and the control of specific organic transformations.

Emphasizing the Potential of Graphene-Modified Metal-Based Nano-Catalysts:

Sustainable Catalysis: The study underscores the potential of graphene-modified metal-based nano-catalysts in advancing the field of sustainable catalysis. By reducing the reliance on stoichiometric oxidants and mitigating the production of toxic by-products, these catalysts offer an eco-friendly alternative for conducting commercially important organic transformations.

Precise Control: The ability to control and fine-tune catalytic properties through defect-rich graphene and structural modifications opens up new possibilities for achieving precise control over reaction pathways, product selectivity, and reaction kinetics.

Industry Applications: These catalysts hold significant promise for applications in industries such as pharmaceuticals, petrochemicals, and fine chemicals, where sustainable and efficient organic transformations are of paramount importance.

Future Research Directions:

Optimization of Catalyst Design: Future research should focus on optimizing the design of graphene-modified metal-based nano-catalysts by further understanding the influence of defects, dopants, and metal nanoparticles. This will lead to catalysts with enhanced performance in a wider range of organic transformations.

Scaling Up and Commercialization: Investigating methods for the scalable production of these catalysts is crucial for their commercial viability. Developing cost-effective synthesis methods is an important direction for future research.

Catalyst Stability and Longevity: Research on improving the stability and longevity of these catalysts under real-world reaction conditions is vital. Understanding the degradation mechanisms and developing strategies to mitigate them is a key research area.

Exploration of New Catalytic Reactions: Expanding the scope of organic transformations that can be catalyzed by graphene-modified metal-based nano-catalysts is an exciting avenue. Exploring new reactions and industries where these catalysts can be applied is an important research direction.

In conclusion, the research presented in this paper highlights the potential of graphene-modified metal-based nano-catalysts in promoting environmentally friendly and sustainable organic transformations. The study's key findings support the notion that these catalysts offer a promising path toward greener and more efficient catalytic processes, while the suggested future research directions aim to advance and expand the field in the years to come.

References:

1. *T. H. Graham, Org. Lett., 2010, 12(16), 3614–3617.*
2. *T. G. Elford, A. Ulaczyk-Lesanko, G. De Pascale, G. D. Wright and D. G. Hall, J. Comb. Chem., 2009, 11(1), 155–168.*
3. *J. Magano and J. R. Dunetz, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2012, 16(6), 1156–1184.*
4. *L. Yang, Z. Liu, Y. Li, N. Lei, Y. Shen and K. Zheng, Org. Lett., 2019, 21(19), 7702–7707.*
5. *S. A. Kelly, S. Pohle, S. Wharry, S. Mix, C. C. R. Allen, T. S. Moody and B. F. Gilmore, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118(1), 349–367.*
6. *D. M. Allwood, D. C. Blakemore and S. V. Ley, Org. Lett., 2014, 16(11), 3064–3067.*
7. *L. N. Ferguson, Chem. Rev., 1946, 38(2), 227–254.*
8. *B. M. Agee, G. Mullins and D. J. Swartling, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2013, 1, 1580–1583.*

9. *S. K. Guchhait, M. Kashyap and H. Kamble, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76(11), 4753–4758.*
10. *A. L. Henne and W. L. Perilstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1943, 65(11), 2183–2185.*
11. *A. L. Henne and P. Hill, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1943, 65(5), 752– 754.*
12. *T. N. Sorrell and P. S. Pearlman, J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45(17), 3449–3451.*
13. *Z. Zhang, L. Wu, J. Liao, W. Wu, H. Jiang, J. Li and J. Li, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80(15), 7594–7603.*
14. *S. Liang, J. Jasinski, G. B. Hammond and B. Xu, Org. Lett., 2015, 17(1), 162–165.*
15. *W. Baidossi, M. Lahav and J. Blum, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62(3), 669–672.*
16. *A. V. Iosub, ˇS. Moravˇc´ık, C.-J. Wallentin and J. Bergman, Org. Lett., 2019, 21(19), 7804–7808.*
17. *D. Webb and T. F. Jamison, Org. Lett., 2012, 14(2), 568–571.*
18. *D. Riemer, B. Mandaviya, W. Schilling, A. C. G¨otz, T. Kuhl, ¨ M. Finger and S. Das, ACS Catal., 2018, 8(4), 3030–3034.*
19. *P. Teo, Z. K. Wickens, G. Dong and R. H. Grubbs, Org. Lett., 2012, 14(13), 3237–3239.*
20. *D. B. Dess and J. C. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113(19), 7277–7287.*
21. *D. B. Dess and J. C. Martin, J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48(22), 4155– 4156.*
22. *G. Glaros, J. Chem. Educ., 1978, 55(6), 410.*
23. *P. Viski, Z. Szeverenyi and L. I. Simandi, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51(16), 3213–3214.*
24. *D. G. Lee and U. A. Spitzer, J. Org. Chem., 1970, 35(10), 3589– 3590.*
25. *H. Adkins, C. E. Kommes, E. F. Struss and W. Dasler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1933, 55(7), 2992–2994.*
26. *Y. LI, D. Nakashima, Y. Ichihashi, S. Nishiyama and S. Tsuruya, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2004, 43(19), 6021–6026.*
27. *Y.-S. Sawayama, H. Shibahara, Y. Ichihashi, S. Nishiyama and S. Tsuruya, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2006, 45(26), 8837– 8845.*
28. *J.-D. Grunwaldt, M. Caravati and A. Baiker, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2006, 110(51), 25586–25589.*
29. *A. K. Vannucci, J. F. Hull, Z. Chen, R. A. Binstead, J. J. Concepcion and T. J. Meyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 3972–3975.*
30. *G.-J. Chen, J. Si Wang, F.-Z. Jin, M.-Y. Liu, C.-W. Zhao, Y.-A. Li and Y.-B. Dong, Inorg. Chem., 2016, 55, 3058–3064.*
31. *F. Banhart, J. Kotakoski and A. V. Krasheninnikov, ACS Nano, 2011, 5(1), 26–41.*
32. *J. Stadler, T. Schmid and R. Zenobi, ACS Nano, 2011, 5(10), 8442–8448.*
33. *D. Matochov´a, M. Medved, A. Bakandritsos, T. Stekl´y, R. Zbo˘ril and M. Otyepka, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2018, 9(13), 3580–3585.*
34. *S. Sun, X. Xiong, J. Han, X. Chang, N. Wang, M. Wang, Y. Lei, T. Liu and Y. Zhu, ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2019, 2(3), 1313– 1324.*
35. *L. Wang, Z. Chen, C. R. Dean, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, L. E. Brus and J. Hone, ACS Nano, 2012, 6(10), 9314–9319.*
36. *J. Gorecki, V. Apostolopoulos, J.-Y. Ou, S. Mailis and N. Papasimakis, ACS Nano, 2018, 12(6), 5940–5945.*
37. *D. Huang, L. Yin and J. Niu, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2016, 50(11), 5857–5863.*
38. *H. Yan, H. Cheng, H. Yi, Y. Lin, T. Yao, C. Wang, J. Li, S. Wei and J. Lu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137(33), 10484–10487.*
39. *S. Wannakao, T. Nongnual, P. Khongpracha, T. Maihom and J. Limtrakul, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116(32), 16992–16998.*
40. *W. Shen, L. Ge, Y. Sun, F. Liao, L. Xu, Q. Dang, Z. Kang and M. Shao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10(39), 33153– 33161.*
41. *J. Zhao, L. Zhang, T. Chen, H. Yu, L. Zhang, H. Xue and H. Hu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116(40), 21374–21381.*
42. *I. S. Amiinu, J. Zhang, Z. Kou, X. Liu, O. K. Asare, H. Zhou, K. Cheng, H. Zhang, L. Mai, M. Pan and S. Mu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8(43), 29408–29418.*
43. *K. Zhang, Q. Yue, G. Chen, Y. Zhai, L. Wang, H. Wang, J. Zhao, J. Liu, J. Li and H. Li, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115(2), 379–389.*
44. *X. Fan, F. Yang, C. Nie, Y. Yang, H. Ji, C. He, C. Cheng and C. Cheng, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10(1), 296–307.*
45. *M. Soikkeli, K. Kurppa, M. Kainlauri, S. Arpiainen, A. Paananen, D. Gunnarsson, J. J. Joensuu, P. Laaksonen, M. Prunnila, M. B. Linder and J. Ahopelto, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8(12), 8257–8264.*
46. *L. Zuccaro, C. Tesauro, T. Kurkina, P. Fiorani, H. K. Yu, B. R. Knudsen, K. Kern, A. Desideri and K. Desideri, ACS Nano, 2015, 9(11), 11166–11176.*
47. *J. Kim, S.-J. Park and D.-H. Min, Anal. Chem., 2017, 89(1), 232–248.*
48. *B. Cai, S. Wang, L. Huang, Y. Ning, Z. Zhang and G.-J. Zhang, ACS Nano, 2014, 8(3), 2632–2638.*
49. *M. K. Elshazly, J. H. Chang, A. Huzayyin and F. Dawson, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2019, 123(5), 2783–2791.*

50. *H. Liu, M. Li, R. B. Kaner, S. Chen and Q. Pei, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10(18), 15609–15615.*
51. *E. O. Polat and C. Kocabas, Nano Lett., 2013, 13(12), 5851– 5857.*
52. *D. Er, E. Detsi, H. Kumar and V. B. Shenoy, ACS Energy Lett., 2016, 1(3), 638–645.*